#### Childhood Cancer Survivor Study: Analysis concept proposal

**Study title:** Comparison of excess risk of late mortality and subsequent malignant neoplasms after Hodgkin lymphoma: a Childhood Cancer Survivor Study and Dutch Hodgkin lymphoma Survivor Study collaboration

#### Working groups and investigators:

Working groups: Primary: Epidemiology and Biostatistics Secondary: Second Malignancy Secondary: Chronic Disease

Rawan Hammoud	rawan.hammoud@stjude.org
Yvonne Geurts	y.geurts@nki.nl
Flora van Leeuwen	f.v.leeuwen@nki.nl
Kevin C. Oeffinger	kevin.oeffinger@duke.edu
Lucie Turcotte	turc0023@umn.edu
Joseph Neglia	jneglia@umn.edu
Analyst TBD	
Wendy Leisenring	wleisenr@fredhutch.org
Melissa Hudson	melissa.hudson@stjude.org
Matt Ehrhardt	matt.ehrhardt@stjude.org
Daniel Mulrooney	daniel.mulrooney@stjude.org
Rebecca Howell	rhowell@mdanderson.org
Louis Constine	Louis_Constine@urmc.rochester.edu
James Bates	james.edward.bates@emory.edu
Tara Henderson	thenderson@peds.bsd.uchicago.edu
Sharon Castellino	sharon.castellino@emory.edu
Gregory T. Armstrong	greg.armstrong@stjude.org
Yutaka Yasui	yutaka.yasui@stjude.org
Stephanie B. Dixon	stephanie.dixon@stjude.org

#### Background and Rationale:

Advances in treatment and supportive care have substantially improved the survival rate for most childhood cancers such that 85% will now become five-year survivors of their cancer [1-3]. Being a particularly radiosensitive malignancy, Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) diagnosed during childhood and adolescence has favorable cure rates with 5-year survival rates exceeding 95% in both the United States and the Netherlands [3, 4]. However, in contradistinction to this excellent five-year survival, it is well established that the rate of subsequent malignant neoplasms (SMNs) and cardiovascular disease experienced as late effects of cancer therapy by survivors of HL are elevated beyond that of the general population and beyond that experienced by survivors of many other primary pediatric malignancies, leading to an increased risk of late (≥5 years from diagnosis) mortality and excess deaths compared with the general population [5-7]. Given the growing and aging population of childhood HL survivors, it is imperative to further investigate the long-term sequelae in order to reduce excess deaths that occur beyond the commonly used benchmark of 5-year survival [7, 8].

The long-term morbidity and mortality associated with the treatment of childhood cancer have been investigated in multiple international cohorts with different demographics, treatment exposures, follow-up times, and lifestyle habits [9]. However, few investigations have compared outcomes internationally across cohorts. Möller et al. (2004) observed a difference in late mortality between Nordic countries that decreased over time, possibly as a result of increased collaborative efforts resulting in the use of similar treatment protocols across these Nordic countries; however, treatment exposures were not available to directly evaluate associations [10]. Fidler-Benaoudia et al. (2021) compared the North American Childhood Cancer Survivor Study (CCSS) and the British Childhood Cancer Survivor Study (BCCSS) [11]. Their results identified that North American survivors (overall and also observed among all lymphoma survivors) initially experienced a lower 10-year cumulative mortality attributable to reduced risk of death from recurrence or progression of the primary cancer. However, they had a higher risk of mortality 40 years after diagnosis, primarily attributable to an increased risk of death due to SMNs and other health-related causes such as cardiopulmonary disease. The authors hypothesized that the observed differences may be partially explained by differences in treatment practices such that an increased intensity of primary cancer treatment would lead to the observed improved 10-year cumulative mortality at the cost of higher rates of long-term, treatment-related mortality. Unfortunately, detailed treatment exposure information for the BCCSS cohort was not available, preventing direct investigation into whether differences in treatment exposures across the two cohorts were associated with these disparate outcomes.

Treatment of HL has evolved through the years with the goal of maximizing cure and minimizing adverse events from therapy. Risk-adapted therapy was introduced in the 1990s and was associated with decreasing long-term morbidity in both CCSS and the Dutch cohort [11, 12]. However, in earlier years, treatment regimens were less standardized with each of the two cohorts following different guidelines (CCSS: largely treated in centers offering children oncology group studies; Dutch: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer), particularly in cases of recurrence when treatment tended to be provider dependent [13]. Thus, whether differences in treatment exposure are associated with differential risk for late mortality between European and North American survivors remains largely unknown.

In addition to treatment, health outcomes and mortality in survivors are associated with a number of clinical and nonclinical factors. Social determinants of health, including sociodemographic and healthcare system factors, which vary within and between countries, may affect this association [14, 15]. As an example, while the Netherlands has a universal healthcare system, no single nationwide system of health insurance is available in the United States, with adult survivors of childhood cancer in the US reporting lower rates of health insurance and more difficulties in obtaining coverage compared with their siblings [16]. Similarly, behavioral risk factors, including obesity, physical activity, smoking, and alcohol use have an important impact on long-term childhood cancer survivorship [17-20]. Rates of people engaging in these behaviors differ in the general population of the United States and the Netherlands, with the US population having higher rates of alcohol dependence and obesity, and lower rates of physical activity and smoking compared with the Dutch general population [21-25]. Chronic cardiovascular conditions including hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia, are also important and modifiable contributors to health outcomes as survivors age. These conditions vary in prevalence among the general population in North America and Europe [21, 22, 26-29].

# Significance:

Building on the results from Fidler-Benaoudia et al. (2021), a collaborative analysis comparing CCSS and Dutch HL survivors will provide a better understanding of the reasons for international differences in the magnitude of long-term risks for survivors of HL. <u>Understanding how treatment exposures and cardiovascular risk factors (CVRF; including hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia,</u>

and smoking) differentially affect the long-term outcomes across childhood cancer survivor cohorts will contribute to strategies to mitigate late effects that are specific to the differences in geographical treatment preferences or cardiovascular risk factors. These findings could also have important implications for policy and practice changes in the United States and the Netherlands and advance the need and priority for global survivorship efforts to elucidate outcomes in underdeveloped countries.

# Specific aims and hypotheses:

<u>Aim 1.1:</u> To compare the rates of death, the absolute excess risk (AER), and standardized mortality ratios (SMRs) for all-cause and cause-specific late (death  $\geq$ 5 years from cancer diagnosis) mortality among adult survivors of HL diagnosed ages 15-<21 years, the age range comparable between CCSS and Dutch cohorts.

*Hypothesis 1.1:* Survivors treated in North America will experience higher standardized rates of death from health-related causes (which includes deaths attributable to late effects but excludes death due to primary cancer or external/accidental causes) compared to survivors of the Dutch cohort.

<u>Aim 1.2:</u> To identify treatment exposures and modifiable CVRF associated with the observed differences in late mortality between the CCSS and Dutch cohorts.

*Hypothesis 1.2:* We hypothesize that differences in treatment exposures (such as anthracycline dose and proportion of survivors that received radiation) and a greater burden of modifiable CVRF are associated with increased standardized rates of death (all-cause and cardiac-specific) in survivors from North America compared to the Dutch survivors.

<u>Aim 2.1:</u> To compare the rate, AER, and standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) of SMNs (overall and type-specific) among survivors of HL diagnosed between the age of 15-<21 years in the CCSS and the Dutch cohorts.

*Hypothesis 2.1:* Survivors treated in North America will have higher standardized incidence rates of SMNs compared to survivors of the Dutch cohort.

<u>Aim 2.2</u>: To identify treatment exposures associated with the observed differences in the incidence rate of developing SMNs between the CCSS and Dutch cohorts.

*Hypothesis 2.2:* We hypothesize that differences in treatment exposures drive the observed increase in the standardized incidence rate of SMNs in survivors from North America compared to the Dutch cohort.

<u>Exploratory aim 1.1</u>: We aim to compare the cumulative incidence and rate of myocardial infarction (MI) among survivors of HL diagnosed between the age of 15-<21 years in the CCSS and the Dutch cohorts. This is exploratory as we acknowledge limitations due to the lack of a population-based registry for MI in the US, which will prevent calculation of AERs and SIRs.

*Hypothesis:* Survivors treated in North America will have a higher incidence rate of MI compared to survivors of the Dutch cohort.

<u>Exploratory aim 1.2</u>: To identify treatment and modifiable cardiovascular risk factors associated with the observed differences in the rate of developing an MI between the CCSS and Dutch cohorts.

*Hypothesis:* We hypothesize that differences in treatment exposures and a greater burden of modifiable cardiovascular risk factors drive the difference observed in the rate of MI between the two cohorts.

#### Methods:

I. Study Population

# North American Childhood Cancer Survivor Study

The Childhood Cancer Survivor Study (CCSS) is a multi-institutional retrospective cohort that includes 5-year childhood cancer survivors diagnosed under the age of 21 from 1970-1999 in the United States or Canada (<u>https://ccss·stjude·org/</u>). The CCSS protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of each participating institution. A detailed description of the study design and methodology has been published [30, 31].

### Dutch Late-Effects Hodgkin lymphoma Study (van Leeuwen, PI)

The Dutch Late-Effects Hodgkin lymphoma study is a multicenter cohort that includes 5-year HL survivors diagnosed between the ages of 15 and 51 from 1965-2000. Data were collected by review of medical records, linkage with nationwide registries, and questionnaires sent to general practitioners. Patient selection and data collection were previously described [13, 32]. We will be submitting an application to the Netherlands Cancer Institute IRB for secondary use of the de-identified data.

#### Study participants

For this study, participants fulfilling the following eligibility criteria will be included: (1) diagnosis of HL; (2) age at diagnosis between 15-<21 years (overlapping age range between cohorts to insure comparability); (3) diagnosis period 1970-1999. CCSS participants from Canadian institutes will be excluded from mortality analyses due to the absence of information on the specific cause of death. For mortality analysis, all eligible CCSS (n=2,116; mortality rate 32%) and an estimated 1100 survivors from the Dutch cohort will be included. For subsequent analyses, all eligible Dutch cohort survivors and the subset of CCSS participants (n=1,484) who completed a survey including the potentially modifiable risk factors of interest will be included. A descriptive comparison of eligible vs. ineligible participants will be provided to assess for potential bias.

#### II. Outcome Measures

#### Mortality

Date and cause of death for eligible CCSS participants were ascertained through linkage with the US National Death Index (NDI) through December 2017. For deaths predating the NDI (1975-1978), state death certificates will be used. For the Dutch cohort date of death was ascertained by linkage with the Dutch Central Office of Genealogy through January 2018. Cause of death was obtained from hospital medical records, general practitioners, and through cause-of-death registry at Statistics Netherlands and classified using the International Classification of Disease 10<sup>th</sup> revision [ICD-10] [4].

Cause-specific mortality will be classified using the ICD-10 include categorization as 1) recurrence/progression of primary cancer; 2) external cause (e.g., accidents, injuries, suicides); and 3) health-related cause (including deaths due to SMNs, cardiac, pulmonary, and other health-related causes, excludes deaths due to primary cancer). Causes of death will also be described by specific ICD-based causes of death.

#### Subsequent malignant neoplasm

Subsequent malignant neoplasm (SMN) will be defined as all subsequent invasive neoplasms, excluding non-melanoma skin cancer, and classified as International Classification of Disease for Oncology (ICD-O)[33].

For CCSS, SMNs were identified by self- or next-of-kin proxy report or death certificate and confirmed by pathology report, or if unavailable, death certificate and/or medical records. For the Dutch cohort, information on SMNs was collected from medical records, questionnaires administered to general practitioners, and through record linkage with the Netherlands Cancer Registry[34].

# Myocardial infarction (Exploratory)

For both cohorts, we will consider MI CTCAE grade 3-5, self- or proxy-reported (CCSS) or reported by general practitioners/cardiologist (Dutch cohort) [35].

# III. Explanatory variables

Demographic/Diagnosis related variables

- Sex
- Race and ethnic group (for CCSS cohort only)
- Age at HL diagnosis
- Treatment era by 5-year interval (1970-1974, 1975-1979, 1980-1984, 1985-1989, 1990-1994, 1995-1999)
- Follow-up duration.

# Treatment-related variables

(To note that the final decision on treatment-related variables inclusion and categorization will depend on how similar the data collection was performed in the two cohorts studied.)

- Radiotherapy: exposure by body region (chest, pelvic, abdomen) + maximal dose. In the case that treatment significantly mediates the studied relationship, we will further explore exposure by radiation field, dose, and volume.
- Splenectomy (Y/N)
- Chemotherapy:
  - Anthracyclines (Y/N) and cumulative dose received. We will explore associations using dose as a continuous variable (propose reporting per 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) and by categories (None, 1-250 mg/m<sup>2</sup>; ≥250 mg/m<sup>2</sup>)
  - ii. Alkylating agents (Y/N) and cumulative dose received. We will explore associations by:
    - → Specifics agents (Procarbazine, Cyclophosphamide, Nitrogen mustard, and Dacarbazine), as continuous variables with any categorizations to be determined by the distribution of doses within the cohorts.
    - → Alkylator dose reported as cyclophosphamide equivalent dose (CED) with conversions as follows: ifosfamide x 0.244, procarbazine x 0.857, BCNY x 15, CCNU x 16, melphalan x 40, Thio-TEPA x 50, nitrogen mustard x 100 and Busulfan x 8.823 [36]. We will explore this variable as a continuous variable by 1 g/m<sup>2</sup> and by categories (None, 1-4 g/m<sup>2</sup>, 4-8 g/m<sup>2</sup>, >8 g/m<sup>2</sup>).
  - iii. Bleomycin (Y/N) and cumulative dose received. We will explore associations as a continuous variable (propose reporting per 50 IU/m<sup>2</sup>) and by categories (none, 1-119 IU/m<sup>2</sup>; ≥120 IU/m<sup>2</sup>)
  - iv. Etoposide (Y/N)
  - v. Platinum (Y/N)
  - vi. Vinca alkaloid (Y/N)

For CCSS survivors who consented to participate, information on treatment was abstracted from medical records [31]. Imputation of treatment information for CCSS non-participants with missing treatment information will be employed, consistent with previous CCSS mortality publications [37]. For the Dutch cohort, information on treatment was collected from medical files. The dose of Procarbazine was estimated from the dose of alkylating agents received as they were almost

always administered together in combination. Missing number of cycles was estimated according to the average number of cycles given within the specific treatment period [38].

Modifiable cardiovascular risk factors

- Hypertension (Y/N)
- Diabetes (Y/N)
- Dyslipidemia (Y/N)
- Smoking (never/ever-[current; former]).

Data retrieved from medical records and by contacting general practitioners for the Dutch survivors; self-report for CCSS participants.

We acknowledge that obesity, physical activity, and alcohol use may further exacerbate the longterm health risks of survivors. Unfortunately, data on these behavioral factors were only collected on a subset of the Dutch cohort participating in nested case-control studies, precluding the ability to compare their effects on the outcomes of this study.

Healthcare systems may also affect health surveillance and outcomes. We may explore a sensitivity analysis of the effect of insurance on outcomes while considering all Dutch participants as insured through the country's universal health care system.

#### IV. Statistical analysis framework

Cohort follow-up will start 5 years from diagnosis and end at date of death, date of study exit or emigration (Dutch cohort only). Study exit date will be defined as the date of the most recent vital status linkage for each cohort (CCSS: December 2017; Dutch cohort: January 2018) for late mortality outcomes and date of last survey or data collection for SMNs and MI. Descriptive analysis summarizing and comparing characteristics of the CCSS and Dutch cohorts will be performed on the following measures: sex, age at diagnosis, year of diagnosis (5-year band), follow-up time, treatment, vital status, and CVRF (Table 1-3). Mortality analysis will follow the same framework used in Fidler-Benaoudia et al. (2021) and will include imputation or inverse-probability-weighting of eligible non-participants using factors available for all eligible survivors (HL diagnosis year, age at diagnosis, sex, country) [9].

**Aim 1.1:** To compare the rate of death, the absolute excess risk (AER), and standardized mortality ratios (SMRs) for all-cause and cause-specific late-mortality among adult survivors of HL diagnosed ages 15-<21 years in the CCSS and Dutch cohorts.

Vital status will be used to estimate:

a) Cumulative mortality with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for all-cause and cause-specific mortality for the entire CCSS eligible cohort and the Dutch cohort. Comparison stratified by follow-up time will be explored.

b) Rates of death (all-cause and cause-specific) per 1,000 person-years with 95% CI. Comparison stratified by follow-up time (Table 5), treatment exposure (Table 8), and CVRF will be explored (Table 9).

c) Standardized mortality ratio (SMR): defined as the ratio of observed over expected number of deaths, with 95% CI, for all-cause and cause-specific mortality (Table 6).

d) Absolute excess risk (AER): defined as observed minus expected number of deaths divided by person-years at risk multiplied by 10,000, with 95% CI for all-cause and cause-specific mortality (Table 7).

Relative measures of mortality will be standardized to, and expected counts of death will be calculated from, the respective general population mortality rates using strata defined jointly by 5-year age-, sex-, race- (for CCSS only), calendar-year, and country (CCSS: National Death

Index; Dutch: Statistics Netherlands). Comparison stratified by follow-up time (Tables 6-7), treatment exposure (Tables 10 & 12), and CVRF (Tables 11 & 13) will be explored.

**Aim 1.2:** To identify treatment exposures and modifiable CVRF associated with the observed differences in late mortality between the CCSS and Dutch cohorts.

To understand the difference in SMRs between the two cohorts, we will perform a mediation analysis using multivariable piecewise-exponential models to estimate the relative rates (RR) and 95% CI of death under the framework depicted in Figure 1. Specifically, to further describe the effect of treatment and CVRF, we will perform a mediation analysis of the cohort-mortality association with both treatment and CVRF as mediators analyzed in a sequential manner. This analysis will adjust for sex, age at diagnosis, and follow-up time (Table 14). We will explore adjusting for treatment through three models:

(1) by treatment era (1970-1979; 1980-1989; 1990-2000)

(2) by treatment group (chemotherapy without radiation, chemotherapy and low dose radiation, chemotherapy and high dose radiation, radiation only, salvage and extended)

(3) In case adjusting by treatment group significantly mediated the association studied, we will explore adjusting by specific treatment exposure.

We will also explore adjusting this analysis for insurance status.



Figure 1 Mediation analysis framework

**Aim 2.1:** To compare the rate, AER, and standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) of SMNs (overall and type-specific) among survivors of HL diagnosed between the age of 15-<21 years in the CCSS and the Dutch cohorts.

We will estimate:

a) Cumulative incidence of SMNs with 95% CI for overall and type-specific (using ICD-O codes), for the CCSS participants and the Dutch cohort. Comparison stratified by follow-up time will be explored.

b) Incidence rate of SMNs (overall and type-specific) per 1,000 person-years with 95% CI. Comparison stratified by follow-up time (Table 16) and treatment exposure (Table 19) will be explored.

c) Standardized incidence ratio (SIR): defined as the ratio of observed over expected number of SMNs, with 95% CI, for overall and type-specific.

d) AER of SMNs (overall and type-specific).

Relative measures of SMNs will be standardized to, and expected counts of death will be calculated from, the respective general population rates of neoplasms using strata defined jointly by 5-year age-, sex-, race- (for CCSS only), calendar-year, and country. Comparison stratified by follow-up time (Tables 17-18) and treatment exposure (Tables 20-21) will be explored. Multiple SMNs within individual survivors will be accounted for in the models using generalized estimating equations.

**Aim 2.2:** To identify treatment exposures associated with the observed differences in the incidence rate of developing SMNs between the CCSS and Dutch cohorts.

To understand the difference in SIR of SMNs between the two cohorts, we will perform mediation analysis using multivariable piecewise-exponential models to estimate the relative rates (RR) and 95% CI of SMN. Specifically, we will perform a mediation analysis of the cohort-SMNs association with treatment as a mediator. This analysis will adjust for sex, age at diagnosis, follow-up time, and smoking (Table 22). We will explore adjusting for treatment through three models:

(1) by treatment era (1970-1979; 1980-1989; 1990-2000)

(2) by treatment group (chemotherapy without radiation, chemotherapy and low dose radiation, chemotherapy and high dose radiation, radiation only, salvage and extended)

(3) In case adjusting by treatment group significantly mediated the association studied, we will explore adjusting by specific treatment exposure.

**Exploratory aim 1.1:** We aim to compare the cumulative incidence and rate of myocardial infarction (MI) among survivors of HL diagnosed between the age of 15-<21 years in the CCSS and the Dutch cohorts. This is exploratory as we acknowledge limitations due to the lack of a population-based registry for MI in the US, which will prevent calculation of AERs and SIRs.

We will estimate:

a) Cumulative incidence of MIs with 95% CI for CCSS participants and the Dutch cohort.

b) Incidence rate by MIs per 1,000 person-years.

Comparison stratified by follow-up time (Table 23), treatment exposure (Table 24), and CVRF (Table 25) will be explored.

**Exploratory aim 1.2:** To identify treatment and modifiable cardiovascular risk factors associated with the observed differences in the rate of developing an MI between the CCSS and Dutch cohorts.

To understand the difference in the rate of MI between the two cohorts, we will perform a mediation analysis using multivariable piecewise exponential models to estimate the relative rates (RR) and 95% CI of MI under the framework depicted in Figure 1. Specifically, to further describe the effect of treatment and CVRF, we will perform a mediation analysis of the cohort-MI association with both treatment and CVRF as mediators analyzed in a sequential manner. This analysis will adjust for sex, age at diagnosis, and follow-up time (Table 26). We will explore adjusting for treatment through three models:

(1) by treatment era (1970-1979; 1980-1989; 1990-2000)

(2) by treatment group (chemotherapy without radiation, chemotherapy and low dose radiation, chemotherapy and high dose radiation, radiation only, salvage and extended)

(3) In case adjusting by treatment group significantly mediated the association studied, we will explore adjusting by specific treatment exposure.

# References:

- 1. Bertuccio, P., et al., *Childhood cancer mortality trends in Europe, 1990-2017, with focus on geographic differences.* Cancer Epidemiol, 2020. **67**: p. 101768.
- 2. Curtin, S.C., A.M. Minino, and R.N. Anderson, *Declines in Cancer Death Rates Among Children and Adolescents in the United States, 1999-2014.* NCHS Data Brief, 2016(257): p. 1-8.
- 3. Howlader, N., et al., (eds). SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2018. https://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975\_2018/, .
- 4. Reedijk, A.M.J., et al., *Improved survival for adolescents and young adults with Hodgkin lymphoma and continued high survival for children in the Netherlands: a population-based study during 1990-2015.* Br J Haematol, 2020. **189**(6): p. 1093-1106.
- 5. de Vries, S., et al., *Long-Term Cause-Specific Mortality in Hodgkin Lymphoma Patients*. J Natl Cancer Inst, 2021. **113**(6): p. 760-769.
- Oeffinger, K.C., et al., Impact of Risk-Adapted Therapy for Pediatric Hodgkin Lymphoma on Risk of Long-Term Morbidity: A Report From the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol, 2021.
   39(20): p. 2266-2275.
- 7. Williams, A.M., et al., *Rethinking Success in Pediatric Oncology: Beyond 5-Year Survival.* J Clin Oncol, 2021. **39**(20): p. 2227-2231.
- 8. Armstrong, G.T., et al., *Aging and risk of severe, disabling, life-threatening, and fatal events in the childhood cancer survivor study.* J Clin Oncol, 2014. **32**(12): p. 1218-27.
- 9. Norsker, F.N., et al., *Late Effects in Childhood Cancer Survivors: Early Studies, Survivor Cohorts, and Significant Contributions to the Field of Late Effects.* Pediatr Clin North Am, 2020. **67**(6): p. 1033-1049.
- 10. Moller, T.R., et al., *Late mortality among five-year survivors of cancer in childhood and adolescence.* Acta Oncol, 2004. **43**(8): p. 711-8.
- 11. Fidler-Benaoudia, M.M., et al., *A Comparison of Late Mortality Among Survivors of Childhood Cancer in the United States and United Kingdom.* J Natl Cancer Inst, 2021. **113**(5): p. 562-571.
- 12. van Leeuwen, F.E. and A.K. Ng, *Long-term risk of second malignancy and cardiovascular disease after Hodgkin lymphoma treatment*. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program, 2016. **2016**(1): p. 323-330.
- 13. van Leeuwen, F.E., et al., *Second cancer risk following Hodgkin's disease: a 20-year follow-up study.* J Clin Oncol, 1994. **12**(2): p. 312-25.
- 14. Shin, H., et al., Associations of Symptom Clusters and Health Outcomes in Adult Survivors of Childhood Cancer: A Report From the St Jude Lifetime Cohort Study. J Clin Oncol, 2022: p. JCO2200361.
- 15. Lee, J.M., et al., *The effect of health insurance on childhood cancer survival in the United States.* Cancer, 2017. **123**(24): p. 4878-4885.
- 16. Park, E.R., et al., *Health insurance coverage in survivors of childhood cancer: the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study*. J Clin Oncol, 2005. **23**(36): p. 9187-97.
- 17. Travis, L.B., et al., *Lung cancer following chemotherapy and radiotherapy for Hodgkin's disease*. J Natl Cancer Inst, 2002. **94**(3): p. 182-92.
- 18. van Leeuwen, F.E. and A.K. Ng, *Late sequelae in Hodgkin lymphoma survivors.* Hematol Oncol, 2017. **35 Suppl 1**: p. 60-66.
- 19. Nathan, P.C., et al., *Health behaviors, medical care, and interventions to promote healthy living in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort.* J Clin Oncol, 2009. **27**(14): p. 2363-73.
- 20. Moke, D.J., et al., *Obesity and Risk for Second Malignant Neoplasms in Childhood Cancer Survivors: A Case-Control Study Utilizing the California Cancer Registry.* Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev, 2019. **28**(10): p. 1612-1620.

- 21. Bommelé, J., B. Hipple Walters, and M. Willemsen, *Smoking in the Netherlands: Key statistics for 2019.* 2020.
- 22. CDC, *Current cigarette smoking among adults in the United States*. March 17, 2022: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- 23. Glantz, M.D., et al., *The epidemiology of alcohol use disorders cross-nationally: Findings from the World Mental Health Surveys.* Addict Behav, 2020. **102**: p. 106128.
- 24. Kapteyn, A., et al., *What they say and what they do: comparing physical activity across the USA, England and the Netherlands.* J Epidemiol Community Health, 2018. **72**(6): p. 471-476.
- 25. Belle, F., et al., Risk factors for overweight and obesity after childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia in North America and Switzerland: A comparison of two cohort studies [abstract]. In: 1st Meeting of International Symposium on Late Complications after Childhood Cancer; 2022 July 8-9; Utrecht, Netherlands. .
- 26. Collaboration, N.C.D.R.F., Worldwide trends in hypertension prevalence and progress in treatment and control from 1990 to 2019: a pooled analysis of 1201 population-representative studies with 104 million participants. Lancet, 2021. **398**(10304): p. 957-980.
- 27. Lin, X., et al., *Global, regional, and national burden and trend of diabetes in 195 countries and territories: an analysis from 1990 to 2025.* Sci Rep, 2020. **10**(1): p. 14790.
- 28. Dixon, S.B., et al., *Factors influencing risk-based care of the childhood cancer survivor in the 21st century.* CA Cancer J Clin, 2018. **68**(2): p. 133-152.
- 29. WHO. (World Health Organization). Noncommunicable diseases: Risk factors. October 13, 2022]; Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/topics/topic-details/GHO/ncd-risk-factors</u>.
- 30. Robison, L.L., et al., *Study design and cohort characteristics of the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study: a multi-institutional collaborative project.* Med Pediatr Oncol, 2002. **38**(4): p. 229-39.
- 31. Leisenring, W.M., et al., *Pediatric cancer survivorship research: experience of the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study*. J Clin Oncol, 2009. **27**(14): p. 2319-27.
- 32. Aleman, B.M., et al., *Late cardiotoxicity after treatment for Hodgkin lymphoma*. Blood, 2007. **109**(5): p. 1878-86.
- 33. Fritz, A., et al., *International Classification of Diseases for Oncology (ICD-O) 2000 Geneva*. Switzerland World Health Organization.
- 34. de Vries, S., et al., *High burden of subsequent malignant neoplasms and cardiovascular disease in long-term Hodgkin lymphoma survivors.* Br J Cancer, 2018. **118**(6): p. 887-895.
- 35. van Nimwegen, F.A., et al., *Radiation Dose-Response Relationship for Risk of Coronary Heart Disease in Survivors of Hodgkin Lymphoma*. J Clin Oncol, 2016. **34**(3): p. 235-43.
- 36. Green, D.M., et al., *The cyclophosphamide equivalent dose as an approach for quantifying alkylating agent exposure: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study.* Pediatr Blood Cancer, 2014. **61**(1): p. 53-67.
- 37. Armstrong, G.T., et al., *Reduction in Late Mortality among 5-Year Survivors of Childhood Cancer.* N Engl J Med, 2016. **374**(9): p. 833-42.
- 38. Schaapveld, M., et al., Second Cancer Risk Up to 40 Years after Treatment for Hodgkin's Lymphoma. N Engl J Med, 2015. **373**(26): p. 2499-511.

# Tables and figures

Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of the study population by cohort.

Characteristics	CCSS eligible n (%)	CCSS participants n (%)	P-value	Dutch eligible n (%)	P-value
Overall	2,116	1,484		~1,100	
Sex				1,100	
Male					
Female	-				
Race/ethnicity				N/A	
Non-Hispanic	1				
white					
Non-Hispanic					
black					
Hispanic					
Other					
Unknown					
Age at diagnosis (years;					
mean, SD)					
Treatment period					
1970-1974					
1975-1979					
1980-1984					
1985-1989					
1990-1994					
1995-1999					
Time from diagnosis					
(years)					
Median (IQR)					
5-9					
10-14					
15-19					
20-24					
25-29					
30+					

Age at last follow-up			
(years)			
Median (IQR)			
20-24			
25-29			
30-34			
35-39			
40-44			
45+			
Vital status			
Alive			
Dead			
Survival after diagnosis			
(years)			
5-9			
10-19			
20-29			
30-39			
≥40			

Table 2. Treatment characteristics of 5-year survivors of childhood cancer.

	CCSS eligible n (%)	CCSS participants n (%)	Dutch eligible n (%)
HL treatment			
RT alone			
CT alone			
RT+CT			
RT field			
No RT			
Chest radiation			
None			
Median dose (IQR)			
Abdomen radiation			
None			

Median dose (IQR)	
Pelvis radiation	
None	
Median dose (IQR)	
Splenectomy	
Anthracyclines (mg/m <sup>2</sup> )	
Any exposure	
Median dose (IQR)	
Procarbazine (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	
Any exposure	
Median dose (IQR)	
Cyclophosphamide (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	
Any exposure	
Median dose (IQR)	
Nitrogen mustard (mg/m <sup>2</sup> )	
Any exposure	
Median dose (IQR)	
Dacarbazine (mg/m <sup>2</sup> )	
Any exposure	
Median dose (IQR)	
Alkylating agents-CED (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	
Any exposure	
Median dose (IQR)	
Bleomycin (IU/m <sup>2</sup> )	
Any exposure	
Median dose (IQR)	
Etoposide	
Any exposure	
Platinum	
Any exposure	
Vinca alkaloid	
Any exposure	

Figure 1. Changes in HL treatment over 3 decades by cohort.

	1970-1979			1980-1989		1990-2000	
Chemotherapy only Radiation only Both	Dutch (%)	CCSS (%)	Dutch (%)	CCSS (%)	Dutch (%)	CCSS (%)	

 Table 3. Cardiovascular risk factors of participants

Lifestyle factor	CCSS participants n(%)	Dutch participants n (%)
Smoking		
Never		
Ever-current		
Ever-former		
Hypertension		
Diabetes		
Dyslipidemia		

Table 4. Frequency of death by cause in eligible CCSS and dutch participants.

Cause of death	ICD-10 Code	de CCSS		Dutch		
		Ν	%	N	%	
Total known						
Recurrence/progression of disease						
External causes						
Motor vehicle accident						
Other accidents						
Suicide						
Homicide						
Other injury						
Medical causes of death						

Subsequent neoplasm			
Lip, oral cavity, pharynx			
Digestive organs and peritoneum			
Respiratory and intrathoracic			
organs			
Bone, connective tissue, skin			
Breast			
Genitourinary organs			
Brain and nervous system			
Lymphatic and hematopoietic			
Other			
Endocrine, nutritional, metabolic disease			
Disease of blood and blood-forming			
organs			
Mental health disorders			
Diseases of the nervous system and			
sensory organs			
Diseases of the circulatory system			
Ischemic heart disease			
Cardiomyopathy			
Heart failure			
Cerebrovascular diseases			
Other cardiac			
Diseases of the respiratory system			
Pneumonia			
Pulmonary fibrosis			
Other pulmonary			
Diseases of digestives system			
Diseases of genitourinary system			
Infectious diseases			
Complications of the puerperium			
Diseases of musculoskeletal system and			
connective tissues			
Congenital anomalies			

Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions			
Unknown cause of death			

Table 5. Mortality rates (death/1,000) by cause of death in all eligible CCSS and Dutch cohorts.

	All-cause		Recurrence/progression		External		Health-re	elates
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch
All								
survivors								
Time from								
diagnosis (years)								
5-9								
10-14								
15-19								
20-24								
25-29								
30+								

	Health-related causes of death								
	SMN		Cardiac		Pulmonary		Other		
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	
All survivors									
Time from diagnosis (years)									
5-9									

10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30+				
15-19				
20-24				
25-29				
30+				

Table 6. Standardized mortality ratios (SMR) in eligible survivors.

	All-cause		Recurrence/	progression	External		Health-re	elates
	SMR (95% CI)- CCSS	SMR (95% CI)- Dutch	SMR (95% CI)-CCSS	SMR (95% CI)-Dutch	SMR (95% CI)- CCSS	SMR (95% CI)- Dutch	SMR (95% CI)- CCSS	SMR (95% CI)-Dutch
All								
survivors								
Time from								
diagnosis								
(years)								
5-9								
10-14								
15-19								
20-24								
25-29								
30+								

		Health-related causes of death									
	SMN		Cardiac		Pulmonary		Other				
	SMR         SMR           (95%         (95%           CI)-         CI)-		SMR (95% CI)-CCSS	SMR (95% CI)-Dutch	SMR (95% CI)- CCSS	SMR (95% CI)- Dutch	SMR (95% CI)-	SMR (95% CI)-Dutch			
	CCSS	Dutch					CCSS				
All survivors											

Time from diagnosis (years)				
5-9				
10-14				
15-19				
20-24				
25-29				
20-24 25-29 30+				

# Table 7. Absolute excess risk (AER) of death in eligible survivors.

	All-cause		Recurrence/	progression	External		Health-re	elates
	AER (95% CI)- CCSS	AER (95% CI)- Dutch	AER (95% CI)-CCSS	AER (95% CI)-Dutch	AER (95% CI)- CCSS	AER (95% CI)- Dutch	AER (95% CI)- CCSS	AER (95% CI)-Dutch
All								
survivors								
Time from								
diagnosis (years)								
5-9								
10-14								
15-19								
20-24								
25-29								
30+								

	Health-related causes of death									
SMN		Cardiac		Pulmonary Other						
AER	AER	AER (95%	AER (95%	AER	AER	AER	AER (95%			
(95%	(95%	CI)-CCSS	CI)-Dutch	(95% CI)-	(95% CI)-	(95%	CI)-Dutch			
				CCSS	Dutch	-				

	CI)- CCSS	CI)- Dutch			CI)- CCSS	
All	0000	Duton			0000	
survivors						
Time from diagnosis (years)						
diagnosis						
(years)						
5-9						
10-14						
15-19						
20-24						
25-29						
30+						

Table 8. Mortality rate by cause of death by treatment exposure

	All-cause		Recurrence/	progression	External		Health-re	elates
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch
RT alone								
CT alone								
RT+CT								
RT field								
No RT								
Chest radiation								
None								
< 15								
15-24.9								
25-34.9								
≥35								
Abdomen radiation								

Daluia					
Pelvis					
radiation					
Splenectomy					
Anthracycline					
s, mg/m <sup>2</sup>					
None					
1-250					
≥250					
Procarbazine,					
g/m <sup>2</sup>					
None					
1-4.2					
4.3-8.4					
>8.4					
Alkylating					
agents,					
mg/m <sup>2</sup>					
Any					
exposure					
(categories if					
possible)					
Bleomycin,					
IU/m <sup>2</sup>					
None					
1-119					
≥120					
Etoposide					
(Any					
exposure)					
Platinum (any					
exposure)					
Vinca alkaloid					
(any					
exposure)					

			Н	ealth-related	causes of de	ath		
	SMN		Cardiac		Pulmonary		Other	
	Rate (95% CI)-	Rate (95% CI)-	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch
	CCSS	Dutch					CCSS	
RT alone								
CT alone								
RT+CT								
RT field								
No RT								
Chest radiation								
None								
< 15								
15-24.9								
25-34.9								
≥35								
Abdomen								
radiation								
Pelvis radiation								
Splenectom y								
Anthracycli nes, mg/m <sup>2</sup>								
None								
1-250								
≥250								
Procarbazin e, g/m <sup>2</sup>								
None								
1-4.2								
4.3-8.4								
>8.4								

Alkylating agents, mg/m <sup>2</sup>				
Any exposure				
(categories if possible)				
Bleomycin, IU/m <sup>2</sup>				
None				
1-119				
≥120				
Etoposide (Any exposure)				
Platinum				
(any				
exposure)				
Vinca				
alkaloid				
(any				
exposure)				

Table 9. Mortality rate by CVRF

	All-cause		Recurrence/	progression	External		Health-re	elates
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch
Smoker								
Never								
Ever-former								

Ever-				
Ever- Current				
Hypertensio				
n				
Diabetes				
Dyslipidemi				
a				

			Н	ealth-related o	causes of de	ath		
	SMN		Cardiac		Pulmonary		Other	
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch
Smoker								
Never								
Ever-former								
Ever- current								
Hypertensio n								
Diabetes								
Dyslipidemi a								

Table 10. SMR by cause of death by treatment exposure

	All-cause		Recurrence/progression		External		Health-relates	
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch
RT alone								
CT alone								

(categorie s if				
possible)				
Bleomyci n, IU/m²				
None				
1-				
119				
≥120				
Etoposide (Any exposure)				
Platinum				
(any exposure)				
Vinca alkaloid				
(any				
exposure)				

		Health-related causes of death SMN Cardiac Pulmonary Other										
	SMN		Cardiac		Pulmonary	Pulmonary						
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch				
RT alone												
CT alone												
RT+CT												
RT field												
No RT												

	r	ľ	1		 
Chest					
radiation					
None					
< 15					
15-24.9					
25-34.9					
≥35					
Abdomen					
radiation					
Pelvis					
radiation					
Splenecto					
my					
Anthracyc					
lines,					
mg/m <sup>2</sup>					
None					
1-250					
≥250					
Procarbaz					
ine, g/m <sup>2</sup>					
None					
1-4.2					
4.3-					
8.4					
>8.4					
Alkylating					
agents,					
mg/m <sup>2</sup>					
Any					
exposure					
(categorie s if					
s if					
possible)					

Bleomyci n, IU/m <sup>2</sup>				
None				
1- 119				
≥120				
Etoposide (Any exposure)				
Platinum (any exposure)				
Vinca alkaloid (any exposure)				

# Table 11. SMR by cause of death by CVRF

	All-cause		Recurrence/	progression	External		Health-re	elates
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch
Smoker								
Never								
Ever- former								
Ever-								
current								
Hypertens ion								
Diabetes								

Dyslipide				
mia				

			Н	ealth-related of	causes of de	ath		
	SMN		Cardiac		Pulmonary		Other	
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch
Smoker								
Never								
Ever- former								
Ever- current								
Hypertens ion								
Diabetes								
Dyslipide mia								

Table 12. AER by cause of death by treatment exposure

	All-cause		Recurrence/	progression	External		Health-re	elates
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch
RT alone								
CT alone								
RT+CT								
RT field								
No								
RT								

	1	1			
Chest					
radiation					
None					
< 15					
15-24.9					
25-34.9					
≥35					
Abdomen					
radiation					
Pelvis					
radiation					
Splenecto					
my					
Anthracyc					
lines,					
mg/m <sup>2</sup>					
None					
1-250					
≥250					
Procarbaz					
ine, g/m <sup>2</sup>					
None					
1-4.2					
4.3-					
8.4					
>8.4					
Alkylating					
agents,					
mg/m <sup>2</sup>					
Any					
exposure					
(categorie					
s if					
possible)					

Bleomyci n, IU/m <sup>2</sup>				
None				
1- 119				
≥120				
Etoposide (Any exposure)				
Platinum (any exposure)				
Vinca alkaloid (any exposure)				

			Н	ealth-related of	causes of de	ath		
	SMN		Cardiac	Cardiac		Pulmonary		
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch
RT alone								
CT alone								
RT+CT								
RT field								
No RT								
Chest radiation								
None								
< 15								
15-24.9								

25-34.9					
≥35					
Abdomen					
radiation					
Pelvis					
radiation					
Splenecto					
my Anthracyc					
lines,					
mg/m <sup>2</sup>					
None					
1-250					
≥250					
Procarbaz					
ine, g/m <sup>2</sup>					
None					
1-4.2					
4.3-					
8.4					
>8.4					
Alkylating					
agents, mg/m²					
Any					
exposure					
chpeedie					
(categorie					
s if					
possible)					
Bleomyci					
n, IU/m²	 				
Nerre					
None					

1-				
119				
≥120				
Etoposide (Any exposure)				
(Any				
exposure)				
Platinum				
(any				
exposure)				
Vinca				
alkaloid				
(any				
exposure)				

Table 13. AER by cause of death by CVRF

	All-cause		Recurrence/	progression	External		Health-re	elates
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch
Smoker								
Never								
Ever- former								
Ever- current								
Hypertens ion								
Diabetes								
Dyslipide mia								

	Health-related causes of death					
SMN	Cardiac	Pulmonary	Other			

	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch
Smoker								
Never								
Ever-								
former								
Ever-								
current								
Hypertens								
ion								
Diabetes								
Dyslipide								
mia								

Table 14. Mediation analysis: cohort-mortality

Cohort	RR (95% CI)	p-value	% mediation
Dutch	1.0 (ref)		
CCSS adjusted for			
demographics			
CCSS adjusted for			
demographics +			
treatment			
CCSS adjusted for			
demographics+ CVRF			
CCSS adjusted for			
demographics+			
CVRF+trearment			

Demographics= sex, age at diagnosis, and follow-up time.

Overall and type-specific	ICD-O		CCSS	D	utch
SMNs		Ν	%	N	%
All types					
Any solid cancer					
Lip, oral cavity, pharynx					
Lower respiratory system					
Lung or bronchus					
Mesothelioma					
GI tract					
Esophagus					
Stomach					
Colon					
Rectum or					
rectosigmoid					
junction					
Pancreas					
Thyroid gland					
Skin					
Melanoma					
Non-Melanoma					
Soft-tissue sarcoma					
Female breast					
Female genital organ					
Male genital organ					
Urinary tract					
Kidney					
Urinary bladder					
Blood, bone marrow, or					
lymphatic system					
Non-Hodgkin's					
lymphoma					
Leukemia					
Other					

Table 15: Overall and type-specific subsequent malignant neoplasms in the CCSS and Dutch cohort HL survivors

	All-cause		Breast		Thyroid		Lung	
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch
All survivors								
Time from diagnosis (years)								
5-9								
10-14								
15-19								
20-24								
25-29								
30+								

Table 16. Rates of SMN (per 1,000) overall and type-specific in participants CCSS and Dutch cohort.

Note: Final type-specific choice depends on the numbers in Table 15

# Table 17. Standardized incidence ratios (SIR) of overall and type-specific SMNs by follow-up time

	All-cause		Breast		Thyroid		Lung	
	SIR (95% CI)- CCSS	SIR (95% CI)- Dutch	SIR (95% CI)-CCSS	SIR (95% CI)-Dutch	SIR (95% CI)-CCSS	SIR (95% CI)-Dutch	SIR (95% CI)- CCSS	SIR (95% CI)-Dutch
All survivors								
Time from diagnosis (years)								
5-9								
10-14								
15-19								
20-24								
25-29								

30+				

Table 18. AER of SMNs by follow-up time

	All-cause		Breast		Thyroid		Lung	
	AER (95% CI)- CCSS	AER (95% CI)- Dutch	AER (95% CI)-CCSS	AER (95% CI)-Dutch	AER (95% CI)- CCSS	AER (95% CI)- Dutch	AER (95% CI)- CCSS	AER (95% CI)-Dutch
All survivors								
Time from diagnosis (years)								
5-9								
10-14								
15-19								
20-24								
25-29								
30+								

Table 19. SMN rate by treatment exposure

	All-cause		Breast		Thyroid		Lung	
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)-CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)-Dutch
RT alone								
CT alone								
RT+CT								
RT field								
No								
RT								

	1	1			
Chest					
radiation					
None					
< 15					
15-24.9					
25-34.9					
≥35					
Abdomen					
radiation					
Pelvis					
radiation					
Splenecto					
my					
Anthracyc					
lines,					
mg/m <sup>2</sup>					
None					
1-250					
≥250					
Procarbaz					
ine, g/m <sup>2</sup>					
None					
1-4.2					
4.3-					
8.4					
>8.4					
Alkylating					
agents,					
mg/m <sup>2</sup>					
Any					
exposure					
(categorie					
s if					
possible)					

Bleomyci n, IU/m <sup>2</sup>				
None				
1- 119				
≥120				
Etoposide (Any exposure)				
Platinum (any exposure)				
Vinca alkaloid (any exposure)				

# Table 20. SIR of SMNs by treatment exposure

	All-cause		Breast		Thyroid		Lung	
	SIR (95% CI)- CCSS	SIR (95% CI)- Dutch	SIR (95% CI)-CCSS	SIR (95% CI)-Dutch	SIR (95% CI)-CCSS	SIR (95% CI)-Dutch	SIR (95% CI)- CCSS	SIR (95% CI)-Dutch
RT alone								
CT alone								
RT+CT								
RT field								
No RT								
Chest radiation								
None								
< 15								

15-24.9					
25-34.9			<u> </u>		
≥35					
Abdomen					
radiation					
Pelvis					
radiation					
Splenecto					
my					
Anthracyc					
lines,					
mg/m <sup>2</sup>					
None					
1-250					
≥250					
Procarbaz					
ine, g/m <sup>2</sup>					
None					
1-4.2					
4.3-					
8.4					
>8.4					
Alkylating					
agents,					
mg/m <sup>2</sup>					
Any					
exposure					
(categorie					
s if					
possible)					
Bleomyci	+				
n, IU/m <sup>2</sup>					
11, 10/11-			<u> </u>		
Nana					
None					

1-				
119				
≥120				
Etoposide				
(Any				
exposure)				
Platinum				
(any				
exposure)				
Vinca				
alkaloid				
(any				
exposure)				

Table 21. AER of SMNs by treatment exposure

	All-cause		Breast		Thyroid		Lung	
	SIR	SIR	SIR (95%	SIR (95%	SIR (95%	SIR (95%	SIR	SIR (95%
	(95%	(95%	CI)-CCSS	CI)-Dutch	CI)-CCSS	CI)-Dutch	(95%	CI)-Dutch
	CI)-	CI)-					CI)-	
	CCSS	Dutch					CCSS	
RT alone								
CT alone								
RT+CT								
RT field								
No								
RT								
Chest								
radiation								
None								
< 15								
15-24.9								
25-34.9								
≥35								

Abdomen				
radiation				
Pelvis				
radiation				
Splenecto				
my				
Anthracyc				
lines,				
mg/m²			 	
None				
1-250				
≥250				
Procarbaz				
ine, g/m <sup>2</sup>				
None				
1-4.2				
4.3-				
8.4				
>8.4			 	
Alkylating				
agents,				
mg/m <sup>2</sup>				
Any				
exposure			 	
lootogorio				
(categorie s if				
possible)				
Bleomyci				
n, IU/m <sup>2</sup>				
,,				
None				
1-				
119				
≥120				

Etoposide (Any				
exposure)				
Platinum (any				
exposure)				
Vinca alkaloid (any				
exposure)				

# Table 22. Mediation analysis: cohort- SMN

Cohort	SIR (95% CI)	p-value	% mediation
Dutch	1.0 (ref)		
CCSS adjusted for			
demographics			
CCSS adjusted for			
demographics +			
treatment			
CCSS adjusted for			
demographics+			
smoking+trearment			

Table 23. Rates of MI by follow-up time

	MI	
	Rate	Rate
	(95%	(95%
	CI)-	CI)-
	CCSS	Dutch
All		
survivors		

Time from diagnosis	
(years)	
5-9	
10-14	
15-19	
20-24	
25-29	
30+	

Table 24. Rate of MI by treatment exposure

	MI		
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% CI)- Dutch	
RT alone		Daton	
CT alone			
RT+CT			
RT field			
No			
RT			
Chest			
radiation			
None			
< 15			
15-24.9			
25-34.9			
≥35			
Abdomen			
radiation			
Pelvis radiation			

Splenecto	
my	
Anthracyc	
lines,	
mg/m <sup>2</sup>	
None	
1-250	
≥250	
Procarbaz	
ine, g/m <sup>2</sup>	
None	
1-4.2	
4.3-	
8.4	
>8.4	
Alkylating	
agents,	
mg/m <sup>2</sup>	
Any	
exposure	
(aata wawia	
(categorie s if	
possible)	
Bleomyci	
n, IU/m <sup>2</sup>	
11, 10/111	
None	
1-	
119	
≥120	
Etoposide	
(Any	
exposure)	

Platinum	
(any	
exposure)	
Vinca	
alkaloid	
(any	
exposure)	

Table 25. Rate of MI by CVRF

	MI		
	Rate (95% CI)- CCSS	Rate (95% Cl)- Dutch	
Smoker	0000	Duton	
Never			
Ever-			
former			
Ever-			
current			
Hypertens			
ion			
Diabetes			
Dyslipide			
mia			

Table 26. Mediation analysis: cohort-MI

Cohort	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p-value	% mediation
Dutch	1.0 (ref)		
CCSS adjusted for			
demographics			

CCSS adjusted for demographics + treatment		
CCSS adjusted for demographics+ CVRF		
CCSS adjusted for demographics+ CVRF+trearment		