STUDY TITLE: Exercise and Chronic Health Conditions and Health Care Utilization in Adults Survivors of Childhood Cancer

Investigators:

Lead Investigators:

Lee W. Jones, PhD Jessica M. Scott, PhD jonesl3@mskcc.org scottj1@mskcc.org

Co-Investigators:

Gregory T. Armstrong, MD Rebecca M. Howell, MD Wendy M. Leisenring, ScD Paul C. Nathan, MD, MSc Kirsten K. Ness, PhD Joseph Neglia, MD Kevin C. Oeffinger, MD Qi Liu, MSc Yutaka Yasui, PhD Greg.Armstrong@stjude.org rhowell@mdanderson.org wleisenr@fhcrc.org paul.nathan@sickkids.ca kiri.ness@stjude.org jneglia@umn.edu kevin.oeffinger@duke.edu ql3@ualberta.ca yutaka.yasui@stjude.org

1.0 ANALYSIS CONCEPT PROPOSAL

- TITLE
 Exercise and Chronic Health Conditions and Health Care Utilization in Adults Survivors of Childhood Cancer
- WORKING GROUP Primary: Chronic Disease Secondary: Cancer Control; Second Malignancy

BACKGROUND AND SIGNIFICANCE

Improvements in multimodal cancer treatment together with more effective risk stratification have contributed to a significant decline in late mortality among 5-year survivors of childhood cancer.¹ Nevertheless, these individuals remain at substantially elevated risk of late mortality compared to the general population due to recurrence of primary disease as well as treatment (health)-related late effects (e.g., subsequent malignant neoplasms (SMN), cardiovascular disease (CVD)).²⁻⁵ Specifically, as reported in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study (CCSS), a retrospective cohort of 34.033 patients surviving at least 5 years after initial childhood cancer diagnosis,⁶ all-cause mortality rates from 5 to 9, 10 to 19, 20 to 29, and 30 years from diagnosis were 13.57, 6.00, 6.52, and 14.22 deaths per 1,000 person-years, respectively, compared to expected (age-adjusted) rates of 0.66, 1.03, 1.44, and 2.07 deaths per 1,000 person-years for the general United States population.¹ The principal cause of excess mortality in the short term is recurrence or progression of the initial cancer. However, with increasing time from diagnosis, mortality attributable to SMN and CVD are the leading causes of death.^{1,3} Compared to siblings, adult survivors of childhood cancer have approximately 15-fold and seven-fold increased risks for SMN and CVD death, respectively.^{7,8} Strategies that complement advances in conventional cancer treatment, especially those that offset treatment-related late effects, are urgently required.⁹

Excess health-related mortality in adult survivors of childhood cancer is driven by the direct adverse effects of cancer treatment on virtually all major organs systems in conjunction with secondary lifestyle perturbations (e.g., physical inactivity, weight gain),¹⁰⁻¹² synergistically predisposing to multiple chronic conditions (e.g., cardiovascular, and endocrine dysfunction). In the general population, exercise is associated with substantial reductions in the risk of multiple risk factors as well as reductions in the risk of death from CVD and any cause.^{13,14} Moreover, there is a growing body of observational as well as preclinical work from our group,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ as well as others,¹⁸⁻²¹ showing that exercise is associated with lower risk of incidence, relapse, and cancer-specific mortality. However, whether the protective impact of exercise extends to adult survivors of childhood cancers who have a higher risk for treatment-associated toxicities compared to adult cancer patients²² is not known. Similarly, given stark differences in the pathogenesis of disease, it is not known whether exercise attenuates the risk of chronic health conditions to a comparable degree in childhood cancer survivors to that observed in the general population.

An important corollary to this line of investigation is an examination of whether or not exercise associated prevention of chronic health conditions is correlated with health care utilization. As neither cancer nor prior or current treatment with anticancer agents are a qualifying diagnosis for exercise rehabilitation in North America, evidence showing that exercise prevents chronic condition onset and also reduces health care utilization will be critical in building the evidence base to support inclusion of exercise as a standard aspect of cancer management.

Accordingly, we propose to extend our prior work^{23,24} to investigate the association between vigorous exercise in early-adulthood as well as longitudinal change in exercise on preventable chronic health conditions in adult survivors of childhood cancer in CCSS. We will also leverage the unique design of CCSS to compare the relationship between exercise and risk of chronic health conditions in survivors versus the same relationship in age-

matched non-cancer siblings. A secondary objective is to examine the direct and indirect effects and health care utilization. We hypothesize that vigorous exercise in early adulthood as well as increased exercise would reduce the risk of all preventable chronic health conditions and associated health care utilization patterns in a dose-dependent manner.

OBJECTIVES

Primary

1) To determine the association between vigorous exercise and the incidence of modifiable cardiovascular risk factors (i.e., hypertension, obesity, dyslipidemia, and diabetes) among childhood cancer survivors.

Hypothesis: Vigorous exercise in early adulthood and increased exercise will be associated with a reduction in modifiable cardiovascular risk factors compared to inactivity.

2) To determine the association between vigorous exercise and the (first) composite incidence of major (grade 3-4) chronic health conditions (i.e., cardiovascular, secondary neoplasms, respiratory, renal, musculoskeletal, and neurological). Severity of conditions were graded according to the National Cancer Institute's (NCI's) Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE; version 4.03), defined as severe (grade 3), or life threatening or disabling (grade 4) among childhood cancer survivors. *Hypothesis:* Vigorous exercise in early adulthood and increased exercise will be associated with a reduction in chronic health conditions compared to inactivity.

3) To determine the association between vigorous exercise on health care utilization (e.g., physician visits, emergency room visits, medical tests, prescription drug use and hospitalizations) among childhood cancer survivors.

Hypothesis: Vigorous exercise in early adulthood and increased exercise will be associated with a reduction in health care utilization compared to inactivity.

Secondary

- To determine the association between exercise and incidence of individual major (grade 3-4) preventable chronic health conditions, defined as follows:
 - Cardiovascular (i.e., composite incidence of any event including Heart Attack, Congestive Heart Failure, Arrhythmia, Hypertension, Stiff or Leaky Valves, Cerebrovascular, Pericardial Disease, Other Vascular, Blood Clot, Other Cardiac Conditions)
 - o Subsequent neoplasms/malignancies (i.e., new primaries).
 - Other chronic health conditions [i.e., respiratory (emphysema, primary pulmonary hypertension); renal (dialysis); musculoskeletal (joint replacement); neurological (memory problems, balance).
- *Hypothesis:* Exercise will be associated with a reduction in independent chronic health conditions compared to inactivity.
- To compare the association between vigorous exercise as well as change in exercise and CVD risk factors and the (first) composite incidence of major (grade 3-4) preventable chronic health conditions and health care utilization in <u>survivors</u> versus the same association in <u>siblings</u>.
- *Hypothesis:* The association between exercise and chronic health conditions and health care utilization will be blunted in survivors compared to siblings.

OUTCOMES OF INTEREST:

 Modifiable cardiovascular risk factors after baseline: Hypertension (CTCAE), dyslipidemia (CTCAE condition "Cholesterol"), diabetes requiring medication (CTCAE grade 2+); obesity defined as a BMI of 30 kg/m² or greater. We will use obesity from follow-up (FU) 2 for participants from the original cohort (OC) and FU 5 for participants from the expansion cohort (EC).

- Grade 3 to 4 chronic health conditions after baseline: Grade 3 and 4 chronic health conditions according to the CTCAE (version 4.3) including: (1) <u>cardiovascular</u> (i.e., composite incidence of any event including Heart Attack, Congestive Heart Failure, Arrhythmia, Hypertension, Stiff or Leaky Valves, Cerebrovascular, Pericardial Disease, Other Vascular, Blood Clot, Other Cardiac Conditions), (2) <u>second neoplastic malignancies</u> (i.e., new primaries, excluding non-melanoma skin cancer), and (3) <u>other</u> ([i.e., respiratory (emphysema, primary pulmonary hypertension); renal (dialysis); musculoskeletal (joint replacement); neurological (memory problems, balance)]. (Table A1).
- 3. **Health care utilization after baseline:** We will use health care utilization from FU 2 for participants from the original cohort and FU 5 for participants from the expansion cohort. Defined as:
 - a. Physician visits.
 - i. **FU2: A.3, FU5: B2**. During this 2 year period, how many times did you see a physician? *Categorical*
 - ii. FU2: A.5, FU5: B3. How many of the physician visits were related to previous cancer?
 - b. Emergency Room Visits Expansion Cohort Only
 - i. **FU2: NA, FU5: B6**. During the past 12 months, how many times have you gone to a hospital emergency room about your own health?
 - c. Hospitalizations Expansion Cohort Only
 - i. FU2: NA; FU5: U1. Have you been admitted to a hospital in the last 12 months
 - ii. **FU 2: NA; FU5: U2**. How many times have you been admitted to a hospital in the last 12 months?
 - d. Medical Screening Tests
 - i. **FU2: B1-4** (females), **B1-3**, **5** (males); **FU5: C1 a, d, f, m** (females), **a, d, f, n** (males): When was the last medical screening test?
 - e. Prescription drug use
 - i. **FU 2: Q1-9; FU5: C2.1-6, 9, 11**. We are interested in drugs you have taken consistently for more than one month or a total of 30 days in one year. Only list those prescribed by your doctor.

INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

Exercise: will be operationalized using the following outcome: Exercise data will be obtained from the baseline questionnaire. OC: Physical activity, question N.9; EC: 0.15

- **a.** Total vigorous exercise: the frequency of exercise sessions per week will be multiplied by the assumed duration (i.e., 20 mins), weighted by the estimate of the metabolic equivalent (MET; i.e., 9 METs) expressed as average MET-hours per week. Categories of total vigorous-intensity exercise will be defined as 0, 3 to 6, 9 to 12, and 15 to 21 MET-hrs.wk⁻¹.
- b. Change in vigorous exercise: Vigorous exercise as reassessed in follow-up questionnaires (FU 2 (OC) or FU 5 (EC)) among xxx adult survivors diagnosed between 1970 and 1999.⁶ Change in vigorous exercise will be defined as: (1) decreased, (2) increased, (3) low maintenance (remaining in low exercise categories, i.e., 0 and 3-6 MET-h/wk), and (4) high maintenance (remaining in high exercise categories, i.e., 9-12 MET-h/wk or 15- 21 MET-h/wk).

COVARIATES

- 1. Sex (baseline: OC question A.1; EC, question A.1),
- 2. Race or ethnic group (baseline: OC A.4, EC, A.5),
- 3. Cancer diagnosis (medical record abstraction),
- Cancer treatment (e.g., anthracycline dose, [doxorubicin equivalent doses will be used for anthracyclines]; chest radiation dose [maximum target dose (maxTD) to the chest (Gy)] and cranium radiation [y/n])
- Education (baseline: OC: 0.1 0.4; EC R.1-R.4 & FU2: question 1; FU5: question A4),
- 6. Age at interview,
- 7. Time interval between diagnosis and baseline assessment of exercise.

SUBJECT POPULATION

Inclusion Criteria

- 1. >=5-year cancer survivors who were diagnosed between 1970 and 1999 at age <21 years at one of 28 institutions.
- 2. Histological confirmation of diagnosis with leukemia, Hodgkin lymphoma, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, central nervous system (CNS) malignancies, Wilms tumor, neuroblastoma, soft tissue sarcoma, or bone tumors.
- 3. Survivor participants who completed the baseline exercise item, and at least one of FU2 and FU5 survey
- 4. Baseline age > 18 years.
- 5. Participants without any major (Grade 3 to 4) chronic health conditions at baseline.
- 6. Siblings participating in the original and expansion cohort, who were >18 years at baseline, with at least one follow-up question in addition to the baseline, and without any major (grade 3-4) chronic health condition.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK

Demographic, disease, and treatment characteristics will be assessed for their associations with the baseline quartiles of exercise exposure, using Chi-square tests for categorical outcomes and ANOVA for continuous variables. Analysis of incidence rate of chronic health conditions after baseline will be conducted for all listed outcomes of interest, censoring the at-risk time at the most recent follow-up survey. Piecewise exponential regression will be used to estimate the rate ratios and 95% confidence intervals for the association between exercise exposure/change in exercise categories and rates of CVD risk factors (hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia) and chronic health conditions using the logarithm of person-years as the offset. Log-binomial regression models will be used to assess the association between exercise/change in exercise and obesity/prescription drug use, and risk ratios will be reported. All models will be adjusted for all available potential confounding variables including attained age as cubic splines, age at diagnosis, sex, race, smoking, education, any anthracycline and equivalent dose,²⁵ cyclophosphamide and equivalent dose,²⁶ and radiation exposure.²⁷ Poisson regression models will be used to assess the association between exercise/change in exercise and health care utilization (physician visits, emergency room visits, and hospitalizations). Polytomous logistic regression will be used when the outcome is time of the last medical screening test (If there are clearly 2 groups, the outcome can be collapsed into binary and log-binomial regression will be used). Quartiles of exercise levels will be used for the assessment of the doseresponse relationship. A multivariable-adjusted rate for modifiable risk factors and chronic conditions and each MET-hr/wk category (i.e., 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21) will be generated to identify the optimal exercise exposure. Vigorous exercise will be collapsed into two categories [no vigorous-intensity exercise exposure (0 MET-h/wk) versus the optimal exercise dose. Change in exercise will be calculated and its association on the outcomes after the exercise change will be assessed. Generalized estimating equations will be used to account for within-family association when survivors and siblings are compared.

Table A1: Grade 3-4 Chronic Health Conditions Scored According to Common Terminology Criteria of Adverse Events (CTCAE) v4.03 with Adaptations.

Category	Chronic Condition	Grade 3 Definition	Grade 4 Definition
Second Malignant Neoplasm	Second Malignant Neoplasm	 Thyroid, benign meningiomas who had neurosurgery Breast cancer in situ (LCIS) 	Other malignanciesBreast cancer in situ (DCIS/mixed)
Cardiovascular	Heart Attack Congestive Heart Failure Arrhythmia Hypertension	 Heart attack, angina, or coronary heart disease not requiring a cardiac catheterization but on anti-anginal medication Congestive heart failure, requiring medication Arrhythmia, requiring pacemaker Unspecified hypertensive renal disease without renal failure Unspecified hypertensive heart and renal disease without heart failure or renal failure 	 Heart attack, requiring cardiac catheterization, or angioplasty or CABGI Heart transplant Ventricular fibrillation/flutter Not applicable
	Stiff or Leaky Valves Cerebrovascular	 Not applicable Occlusion of cerebral arteries Cerebral embolism 	Heart valve replacementStroke/CVA
	Pericardial Disease Other Vascular	 Pericardial disease requires surgical intervention Aorta-subclavian-carotid bypass Other (peripheral) vascular shunt or bypass Other repair of aneurysm Repair of arteriovenous fistula 	 Not applicable Other excision of vessels, aorta, abdominal (aorta) Thoracic aneurysm, ruptured
	Blood Clot	 Blood clot in head, lung, arm, leg, or pelvis 	Pulmonary embolism/infarctionEmbolism and thrombosis of unspecified artery
	Other Cardiac Condition	 Acute myocarditis in diseases classified elsewhere Thromboangiitis obliterans [Buerger's disease] Other chronic pulmonary heart diseases Hypotension, unspecified 	Not applicable
Respiratory	Emphysema Other Respiratory	Chronic obstructive asthma	Not applicablePrimary pulmonary hyptertension
Renal	Dialysis	Acute kidney failure	 Artificial kidney hemodiafiltration, peritoneal disease, stage V chronic kidney disease, end stage renal disease, renal failure unspecified
Musculoskeletal	Joint replacement	Joint replacement	Not applicable
Neurological	Memory problems Balance	 Problems with learning or memory, severe Problems with balance or ability to manipulate objects, severe 	 Problems with learning or memory, disabling Problems with balance or ability to manipulate objects, severe

		MET-h/wk				
Characteristic	Total	0	3 to 6	9 to 12	15 to 21	Р
No. of participants, (%) No. of survivors, (%) No. of siblings, (%)		•				
Age at interview – years Median IQR						
Age at diagnosis – years Median IQR						
Interval between baseline and follow-up – years Median IQR						
Male – no. (%) Race – no. (%) Non-Hispanic white Other group						
BMI – kg/m² (SD) Mean Range						
Smoking – no. (%) Current Former Never						
Cancer treatment – no. (%) Chemotherapy Any chemotherapy Anthracycline Doxorubicin equivalent dose,mg/m ² None <250mg/m ² >=250mg/m ²						
Alkylating agent cyclophosphamide equivalent dose, mg/m ² None 0 - <4000						
>=4000 - <8000 >=8000 Radiation therapy Any radiation therapy Chest						
Chest RT dose None <20Gy 20-<30Gy						
30-<40Gy 40-<50Gy 50+Gy						
Abdominal or pelvic Abbreviations: BMI, body mass in	dex: CV_cardio	vascular				

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CV, cardiovascular

Table 2. Multivariable-Adjusted Rate Ratios of CVD Risk Fa	actors According to 0 and optimal MET-h/wk in survivors.

	0 MET-h/wk (0 days/wk)		optimal MET-h/wk (xdays/wk)	
	Survivors (n=)	Р	Survivors (n=)	Р
Median MET-hr/wk				
2 Cardiovascular risk factors No. of events				
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI) Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref Ref			
Hypertension No. of events				
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	Ref			
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref			
Dyslipidemia				
No. of events	Def			
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI) Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref Ref			
Diabetes	itei			
No. of events				
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	Ref			
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref			
Obesity				
No. of events				
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	Ref			
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref			

Abbreviations: MET, metabolic equivalent task; RR, rate ratio; CI, confidence interval

*Adjusted for attained age, sex, race/ethnicity, smoking status, education, and non-cardiac grade 3 to 4 chronic health conditions in both siblings and survivors; additional adjustment in survivors for: age at cancer diagnosis, cumulative doxorubicin dose, cumulative cyclophosphamide-equivalent dose, radiation. Cardiovascular risk factors include hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes, and obesity.

-	0 MET-h/wk (0 days/wk)	optimal MET-h/wk (xdays/wk)		
	Siblings (n=)	Р	Siblings (n=)	P
Median MET-hr/wk				
<u>> 2 Cardiovascular risk factors</u> No. of events				
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI) Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref Ref			
Hypertension No. of events				
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	Ref			
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)* Dyslipidemia No. of events	Ref			
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI) Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref Ref			
Diabetes No. of events				
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI) Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref Ref			
Obesity No. of events				
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI) Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref Ref			

Table 3. Multivariable-Adjusted Rate Ratios of CVD Risk Factors According to 0 and optimal MET-h/wk in siblings.

Abbreviations: MET, metabolic equivalent task; RR, rate ratio; CI, confidence interval

*Adjusted for attained age, sex, race/ethnicity, smoking status, education, and non-cardiac grade 3 to 4 chronic health conditions in both siblings and survivors; additional adjustment in survivors for: age at cancer diagnosis, cumulative doxorubicin dose, cumulative cyclophosphamide-equivalent dose, radiation. Cardiovascular risk factors include hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes, and obesity.

	0 MET-h/wk (0 days/wk)		optimal MET-h/wk (optimal days/wk)		
	Survivors (n=)	Р	Survivors (n=)	Р	
Median MET-hr/wk					
Composite Chronic Health Conditions No. of events					
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	Ref				
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref				
Cardiovascular No. of events					
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI) Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref Ref				
SMN No. of events					
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI) Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref Ref				
Other Chronic Health Conditions No. of events					
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	Ref				
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref				

Table 4. Multivariable-Adjusted Rate Ratios of Major (Grade 3-4) Chronic Health Conditions According to 0 and optimal MET-h/wk in survivors.

Abbreviations: MET, metabolic equivalent task; RR, rate ratio; CI, confidence interval

in both siblings and survivors; additional adjustment in survivors for: age at cancer diagnosis, cumulative doxorubicin dose, cumulative cyclophosphamideequivalent dose, radiation.

Chronic Health Conditions is a composite of cardiovascular, subsequent neoplasms and other ([i.e., respiratory (emphysema, primary pulmonary hypertension); renal (dialysis); musculoskeletal (joint replacement); neurological (memory problems, balance).

	0 MET-h/wk (0 days/wk)		optimal MET-h/wk (optimal days/wk)	
	Siblings (n=)	Р	Siblings (n=)	Р
Median MET-hr/wk				
Composite Chronic Health Conditions No. of events				
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	Ref			
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref			
Cardiovascular No. of events				
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI) Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref Ref			
SMN No. of events				
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI) Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref Ref			
Other Chronic Health Conditions No. of events				
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI) Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref Ref			

Table 5. Multivariable-Adjusted Rate Ratios of Major (Grade 3-4) Chronic Health Conditions According to 0 and optimal MET-h/wk in siblings.

Abbreviations: MET, metabolic equivalent task; RR, rate ratio; CI, confidence interval

in both siblings and survivors; additional adjustment in survivors for: age at cancer diagnosis, cumulative doxorubicin dose, cumulative cyclophosphamideequivalent dose, radiation.

Chronic Health Conditions is a composite of cardiovascular, subsequent neoplasms and other ([i.e., respiratory (emphysema, primary pulmonary hypertension); renal (dialysis); musculoskeletal (joint replacement); neurological (memory problems, balance).

	Change in Exercise Exposure [‡]						
-	Low						
	Total (n=)	Maintenance (n=)	Decreased Exposure (n=)	Increased Exposure (n=)	High Maintenance (n=)		
> 2 Cardiovascular risk factors No. of events			Р	Р	Р		
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					
Hypertension							
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					
Dyslipidemia							
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					
Diabetes							
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					
Obesity							
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					

Table 6. Age-Adjusted and Multivariable-Adjusted Rate Ratios of CVD Risk Factors According to Change in Exercise Exposure in Survivors.

[‡]Low maintenance (referent group): survivors remaining in 0 or 3-6 MET categories; Decreased exposure: a decline in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 9); High maintenance: survivors remaining in 9-12 MET or 15-21 MET category. *Adjusted for attained age, sex, race/ethnicity, smoking status, education, and non-cardiac grade 3 to 4 chronic health condition, age at cancer diagnosis, cumulative doxorubicin dose, cumulative cyclophosphamide-equivalent dose, radiation.

Table 7. Age-Adjusted and Multivariable-Adjusted Rate Ratios of CVD Risk Factors According to Change in Exercise Exposure in Siblings.

	Change in Exercise Exposure [‡]						
-	Low						
	Total (n=)	Maintenance (n=)	Decreased Exposure (n=)	Increased Exposure (n=)	High Maintenance (n=)		
> 2 Cardiovascular risk factors	× /		P	P	P		
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					
Hypertension							
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					
Dyslipidemia							
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					
Diabetes							
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					
Obesity							
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					

[‡]Low maintenance (referent group): remaining in 0 or 3-6 MET categories; Decreased exposure: a decline in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 9 to 12); High maintenance: remaining in 9-12 MET or 15-21 MET category. *Adjusted for attained age, sex, race/ethnicity, smoking status, education, and non-cardiac grade 3 to 4 chronic health condition.

Table 8. Age-Adjusted and Multivariable-Adjusted Rate Ratios of Chronic Health Conditions According to Change in Exercise Exposure in Survivors.

	Change in Exercise Exposure [‡]						
-	Low						
	Total (n=)	Maintenance (n=)	Decreased Exposure (n=)	Increased Exposure (n=)	High Maintenance (n=)		
Composite Chronic Health Conditions [§]			Р	Р	Р		
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					
Cardiovascular							
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					
Subsequent neoplasms							
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					
Other Chronic Health Conditions							
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					

⁺Low maintenance (referent group): survivors remaining in 0 or 3-6 MET categories; Decreased exposure: a decline in exercise exposure from baseline to followup in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 9 to 12); High maintenance: survivors remaining in 9-12 MET or 15-21 MET category.

§ Composite Chronic Health Conditions includes: cardiovascular, subsequent neoplasms and other ([i.e., respiratory (emphysema, primary pulmonary hypertension); renal (dialysis); musculoskeletal (joint replacement); neurological (memory problems, balance).

Table 9. Age-Adjusted and Multivariable-Adjusted Rate Ratios of Chronic Health Conditions According to Change in Exercise Exposure in Siblings.

	Change in Exercise Exposure [‡]						
-	Low						
	Total (n=)	Maintenance (n=)	Decreased Exposure (n=)	Increased Exposure (n=)	High Maintenance (n=)		
Composite Chronic Health Conditions [®]			Р	Р	Р		
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					
Cardiovascular							
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					
Subsequent neoplasms No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					
Other Chronic Health Conditions							
No. of events							
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref					

⁺Low maintenance (referent group): survivors remaining in 0 or 3-6 MET categories; Decreased exposure: a decline in exercise exposure from baseline to followup in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 9 to 12); High maintenance: survivors remaining in 9-12 MET or 15-21 MET category.

§ Chronic Health Conditions is a composite of cardiovascular, subsequent neoplasms and other ([i.e., respiratory (emphysema, primary pulmonary hypertension); renal (dialysis); musculoskeletal (joint replacement); neurological (memory problems, balance).

Table 10. Multivariable-Adjusted Risk Ratios of Health Care Utilization According to 0 and Optimal MET-h/wk.

-		Siblings	Survivors			
	0 MET-h/wk (0 days/wk) (n=)	xx MET-h/wk (optimal days/wk) (n=)	Р	0 MET-h/wk (0 days/wk) (n=)	xx MET-h/wk (optimal days/wk) (n=)	Р
Median MET-hr/wk					, ,	
Composite Utilization No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref					
Physician Visits No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref					
Emergency Room Visits No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref					
Medical Tests						
No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref					
Prescription Drug Use No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref					
Hospitalization No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)	Ref					
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*	Ref					

Abbreviations: MET, metabolic equivalent task; RR, rate ratio; CI, confidence interval

*Adjusted for attained age, sex, race/ethnicity, smoking status, education, and any baseline grade 3 to 4 chronic health conditions in both siblings and survivors; additional adjustment in survivors for: age at cancer diagnosis, cumulative doxorubicin dose, cumulative cyclophosphamide-equivalent dose, radiation.

Table 11. Age-Adjusted and Multivariable-Adjusted Risk Ratios of Health Care Utilization According to Change in Exercise Exposure in Survivors.

	Change in Exercise Exposure [‡]					
_	Total	Low Maintenance	Decreased Exposure	Increased Exposure	High Maintenance	
Composite Utilization	(n=)	(n=)	(n=) P	<u>(n=)</u>	<u>(n=)</u>	
Composite Utilization No. of events			F	F	F	
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref				
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref				
Physician Visits		IVEI				
No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref				
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref				
Emergency Room Visits		T(C)				
No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref				
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref				
Medical Tests						
No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref				
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref				
Prescription Drug Use						
No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref				
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref				
Hospitalization						
No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref				
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref				

⁺Low maintenance (referent group): survivors remaining in 0 or 3-6 MET categories; Decreased exposure: a decline in exercise exposure from baseline to followup in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 9 to 12); High maintenance: survivors remaining in 9-12 MET or 15-21 MET category.

* Adjusted for attained age, sex, race/ethnicity, smoking status, education, and any baseline grade 3 to 4 chronic health conditions, age at cancer diagnosis, cumulative doxorubicin dose, cumulative cyclophosphamide-equivalent dose, radiation.

Table 12. Age-Adjusted and Multivariable-Adjusted Risk Ratios of Health Care Utilization According to Change in Exercise Exposure in Siblings.

	Change in Exercise Exposure [‡]					
_	Total	Low Maintenance	Decreased Exposure	Increased Exposure	High Maintenance	
	(n=)	(n=)	(n=)	<u>(n=)</u>	(n=)	
Composite Utilization			Р	P	F	
No. of events		. /				
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref				
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref				
Physician Visits						
No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref				
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref				
Emergency Room Visits						
No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref				
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref				
Medical Tests						
No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref				
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref				
Prescription Drug Use						
No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref				
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref				
Hospitalization						
No. of events						
Age-adjusted RR (95% CI)		Ref				
Multivariable-adjusted RR (95% CI)*		Ref				

[‡]Low maintenance (referent group): remaining in 0 or 3-6 MET categories; Decreased exposure: a decline in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 0); increased exposure: an improvement in exercise exposure from baseline to follow-up in MET classification (e.g., 3 to 6 to 9 to 12); High maintenance: remaining in 9-12 MET or 15-21 MET category.

* Adjusted for attained age, sex, race/ethnicity, smoking status, education, and any baseline grade 3 to 4 chronic health conditions.

References

- 1. Armstrong GT, Liu Q, Yasui Y, et al. Late mortality among 5-year survivors of childhood cancer: a summary from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(14):2328-2338.
- 2. Fidler MM, Reulen RC, Winter DL, et al. Long term cause specific mortality among 34 489 five year survivors of childhood cancer in Great Britain: population based cohort study. *BMJ.* 2016;354:i4351.
- 3. Reulen RC, Winter DL, Frobisher C, et al. Long-term cause-specific mortality among survivors of childhood cancer. *JAMA*. 2010;304(2):172-179.
- 4. Yeh JM, Nekhlyudov L, Goldie SJ, Mertens AC, Diller L. A model-based estimate of cumulative excess mortality in survivors of childhood cancer. *Ann Intern Med.* 2010;152(7):409-417, W131-408.
- 5. Armstrong GT, Chen Y, Yasui Y, et al. Reduction in Late Mortality among 5-Year Survivors of Childhood Cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374(9):833-842.
- 6. Robison LL, Armstrong GT, Boice JD, et al. The Childhood Cancer Survivor Study: a National Cancer Institute-supported resource for outcome and intervention research. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(14):2308-2318.
- 7. Mertens AC, Liu Q, Neglia JP, et al. Cause-specific late mortality among 5-year survivors of childhood cancer: the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2008;100(19):1368-1379.
- 8. Tukenova M, Guibout C, Oberlin O, et al. Role of cancer treatment in long-term overall and cardiovascular mortality after childhood cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(8):1308-1315.
- 9. Scott JM, Koelwyn GJ, Hornsby WE, et al. Exercise therapy as treatment for cardiovascular and oncologic disease after a diagnosis of early-stage cancer. *Semin Oncol.* 2013;40(2):218-228.
- 10. Garmey EG, Liu Q, Sklar CA, et al. Longitudinal changes in obesity and body mass index among adult survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(28):4639-4645.
- 11. Hoffman MC, Mulrooney DA, Steinberger J, Lee J, Baker KS, Ness KK. Deficits in physical function among young childhood cancer survivors. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(22):2799-2805.
- 12. Adams MJ, Lipsitz SR, Colan SD, et al. Cardiovascular status in long-term survivors of Hodgkin's disease treated with chest radiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004;22(15):3139-3148.
- 13. Myers J, Prakash M, Froelicher V, Do D, Partington S, Atwood JE. Exercise capacity and mortality among men referred for exercise testing. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;346(11):793-801.
- 14. Paffenbarger RS, Jr., Hyde RT, Wing AL, Lee IM, Jung DL, Kampert JB. The association of changes in physical-activity level and other lifestyle characteristics with mortality among men. *N Engl J Med.* 1993;328(8):538-545.
- 15. Jones LW, Kwan ML, Weltzien E, et al. Exercise and Prognosis on the Basis of Clinicopathologic and Molecular Features in Early-Stage Breast Cancer: The LACE and Pathways Studies. *Cancer Res.* 2016;76(18):5415-5422.
- 16. Jones LW, Viglianti BL, Tashjian JA, et al. Effect of aerobic exercise on tumor physiology in an animal model of human breast cancer. *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 2010;108(2):343-348.
- 17. Jones LW, Antonelli J, Masko EM, et al. Exercise modulation of the host-tumor interaction in an orthotopic model of murine prostate cancer. *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 2012;113(2):263-272.
- 18. Schmid D, Leitzmann MF. Association between physical activity and mortality among breast cancer and colorectal cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Oncol.* 2014;25(7):1293-1311.
- 19. Meyerhardt JA, Giovannucci EL, Ogino S, et al. Physical activity and male colorectal cancer survival. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169(22):2102-2108.
- 20. Holmes MD, Chen WY, Feskanich D, Kroenke CH, Colditz GA. Physical activity and survival after breast cancer diagnosis. *JAMA*. 2005;293(20):2479-2486.
- 21. Giovannucci E, Ascherio A, Rimm EB, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. Physical activity, obesity, and risk for colon cancer and adenoma in men. *Ann Intern Med.* 1995;122(5):327-334.
- 22. Sarosiek KA, Fraser C, Muthalagu N, et al. Developmental Regulation of Mitochondrial Apoptosis by c-Myc Governs Age- and Tissue-Specific Sensitivity to Cancer Therapeutics. *Cancer Cell.* 2017;31(1):142-156.
- 23. Scott JM, Li N, Liu Q, et al. Association of Exercise With Mortality in Adult Survivors of Childhood Cancer. *JAMA Oncol.* 2018.

- 24. Jones LW, Liu Q, Armstrong GT, et al. Exercise and risk of major cardiovascular events in adult survivors of childhood hodgkin lymphoma: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(32):3643-3650.
- 25. Feijen EA, Leisenring WM, Stratton KL, et al. Equivalence Ratio for Daunorubicin to Doxorubicin in Relation to Late Heart Failure in Survivors of Childhood Cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33(32):3774-3780.
- 26. Green DM, Nolan VG, Goodman PJ, et al. The cyclophosphamide equivalent dose as an approach for quantifying alkylating agent exposure: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2014;61(1):53-67.
- 27. Ness KK, Hudson MM, Jones KE, et al. Effect of Temporal Changes in Therapeutic Exposure on Selfreported Health Status in Childhood Cancer Survivors. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017;166(2):89-98.