**Study Title:** Impact of Rurality on Healthcare Utilization, Health Behaviors, and Health Status of Childhood Cancer Survivors

# Working Group and Investigators:

CCSS Working Group: Cancer Control and Intervention (Primary), Epidemiology (Secondary) Primary Investigator: Liberty Strange Primary Mentor: Karen Effinger Co-Investigators: Xu Ji, I-Chan Huang, Lena Winestone, Carrie Howell Working Group Chairs: Cancer Control and Intervention: Paul Nathan, Claire Snyder (Primary) Epidemiology: Cindy Im, Yutaka Yasui, Wendy Leisenring (Secondary) Statistician: Kendrick Li

# Background and Rationale:

<u>Health of Childhood Cancer Survivors</u>: There are nearly 500,000 childhood cancer survivors the United States and this number is growing as survival rates improve with advances in treatment of pediatric cancer.<sup>1,2</sup> Survivors are at increased risk of early mortality, chronic health conditions, reduced quality of life, and higher symptom burden compared to their siblings and peers.<sup>2,3</sup> Decreased physical quality of life is associated with older age, lower educational attainment, and unmarried status.<sup>4</sup> Survivor programs that help screen for chronic health conditions are typically located in metropolitan areas and serve large catchment areas, including rural populations. Survivors experience more healthcare cost barriers compared to noncancer controls.<sup>5</sup>

<u>Disparities in Care Among Childhood Cancer Survivors:</u> There is evidence that subgroups of survivors experience disparities in survivorship care initiation and follow up.<sup>6</sup> Uninsured survivors are less likely to have a healthcare visit and more likely to experience healthcare cost barriers.<sup>5</sup> There is mixed evidence regarding the distance to cancer survivorship clinic as a factor leading to disparate care with some population-based studies reporting farther distance from survivor clinic as a risk factor for clinic non-attendance and others reporting farther distance from survivor clinic as a thoroughly explored as a variable leading to differences in survivor care.

<u>Rurality and Childhood Cancer Survivors</u>: A recent population-based cohort study in Oklahoma found that a higher percentage of survivors from large towns had sub-optimal survivor follow-up compared to urban and rural survivors.<sup>11</sup> Our preliminary data from a Southeastern United States pediatric institutional cohort show that rurality is a risk factor for non-initiation and non-continuation of survivor care.<sup>12</sup>

<u>*Rural-Urban Disparities:*</u> It is estimated that 19.3% of the United States population lives in a rural area.<sup>13</sup> Allcause mortality is higher in rural persons as compared to their urban counterparts.<sup>14</sup> Patterns of healthcare utilization and health behaviors differ between the general rural and urban populations. Rurality is associated with reduced access to healthcare providers and decreased engagement in preventative care.<sup>15</sup> Engagement in healthy behaviors among rural adults is suboptimal with older adults engaging in less physical activity<sup>16</sup> and smoking prevalence is higher in rural areas.<sup>17</sup> There is age and geographic variation in alcohol use patterns with higher alcohol use rates in rural adolescents compared to urban adolescents<sup>18</sup> and those living in the rural Midwest.<sup>19</sup>

<u>Conceptual Model:</u> The Vulnerable Populations Conceptual Model describes the relationships between resource availability, risk, and health status, and these concepts have been applied to rural populations (Figure 1).<sup>20,21</sup> Survivors of childhood cancer can be considered a vulnerable population (Figure 2). Adult survivors have lower incomes,<sup>22,23</sup> experience higher rates of unemployment,<sup>24,25</sup> experience barriers to health insurance coverage<sup>26</sup>, and have lower marriage rates<sup>27</sup> as compared to sibling and population controls. There is also lower educational achievement and an increased need for educational support in subgroups of survivors.<sup>25</sup> Adult survivors can have persistent psychological stress beyond their cancer diagnosis and treatment.<sup>28</sup> Survivors have lower rates of adequate physical activity compared to their siblings<sup>29</sup> and report more sleep difficulties.<sup>30</sup> These findings in the childhood cancer survivor population define survivors as a vulnerable population at risk for poor health outcomes.

Rural survivors of childhood cancer are a uniquely vulnerable population at higher risk for poor health outcomes and likely to have poor healthcare utilization, increased incidence of poor health behaviors, and poor health status; however, this has not yet been studied utilizing a large cohort of survivors, This proposed project will address this gap by leveraging the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study (CCSS) to identify the association between rurality and self-reported measures of healthcare utilization, health behaviors, and health status in long-term survivors of childhood cancer.

Figure 1: Table from Leight highlighting rural findings within the context of the Vulnerable Populations Conceptual Model<sup>21</sup>

Concept	Theoretical Structure	Empirical Indicators	Selected Rural Findings		
Resource availability	Social resources				
	<ul> <li>Human capital</li> </ul>	Income	17% living in poverty		
		Jobs	Loss of manufacturing jobs		
		Education	Fewer college degrees		
		Housing	Rural homeless		
	Social connectedness	Patterns of family and community life	Loss of traditional family infrastructure		
	<ul> <li>Social skills</li> </ul>				
	Environmental resources	Health care	Distance to health care as a barrier		
Relative risk	Increased risk factors	Quality of care	Inadequate health care resources		
	<ul> <li>Likelihood of exposure to risk factors</li> </ul>	Lifestyle behaviors and choices	Less than optimal nutrition, exercise, and sleep		
		Health-promoting behaviors (screening, immunization)	Less likely to use seat belts, have pap smears, get immunizations, and have dental and physical examinations		
		Exposure to stressful events	Increase in motor vehicle accidents and accidental work-related injuries		
Health status	Morbidity	Delayed diagnosis Increased illness	Increased chronic illness and disability		
	Mortality	Premature death	Higher infant mortality		

# Figure 2: The vulnerable populations conceptual model as applied to childhood cancer survivors.



### Specific aims:

Aim 1: To determine the association between rurality of survivor residence and healthcare utilization and type of medical care among adult survivors of childhood cancer.

<u>Hypothesis 1a</u>: Rural survivors will report lower adherence to guideline-recommended late effects surveillance than their urban counterparts.

<u>Hypothesis 1b</u>: Rural survivors will be less likely to report completion of a primary care visit and less likely to report completion of a cancer specialist visit compared to their urban counterparts.

Aim 2: To describe the association of rurality with health behaviors among childhood cancer survivors. <u>*Hypothesis:*</u> Rural survivors will have an increased incidence of risky health behaviors (e.g., tobacco and alcohol use) and a decreased incidence of protective health behaviors (e.g., adequate physical activity) as compared to their urban counterparts.

Aim 3: To evaluate the association between rural residence and self-reported health status in childhood cancer survivors compared to urban/suburban residence. <u>Hypothesis</u>: Rural survivors will have poorer self-reported health status as compared to their urban counterparts.

Aim 4: To evaluate whether there are differences in urban/rural gaps in self-reported healthcare utilization, health behaviors, and health status between cancer survivors and their sibling controls. <u>Hypothesis 4a</u>: Compared with sibling controls, the urban/rural gap in the likelihood of reporting completion of a

primary care visit is larger among cancer survivors.

<u>Hypothesis 4b:</u> Compared with sibling controls, the urban/rural gaps in the incidence of risky health behaviors (e.g., tobacco and alcohol use) and the incidence of protective health behaviors (e.g., adequate physical activity) are larger among cancer survivors.

<u>Hypothesis 4c</u>: Compared with sibling controls, the urban/rural gap in the self-reported health status is larger among cancer survivors.

## Analysis framework:

<u>Conceptual Framework</u>: Using the vulnerable populations model as applied to survivors and rurality, we propose that the dual vulnerability of rural survivors places them at higher risk for suboptimal medical care, risky health behaviors, and poor health status compared to their urban counterparts (Figure 3) which would ultimately lead to increased morbidity and early mortality. A previously submitted concept proposal by Drs. Winestone and Howell is investigating rurality as a small-area measure and its association with mortality and presence of chronic health conditions. This concept proposal will build on that work by investigating rurality and its relationship with contributors (i.e. poor health status, suboptimal medical care, and risky health behaviors) to morbidity and mortality (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Conceptual framework describing the proposed relationship between rurality, health status, medical care, and risky health behaviors in survivors



Concept map created using Miro Mind-Map Maker (https://miro.com/mind-map/).

<u>Subject Population</u>: For Aim 1-3, we will include survivors in the CCSS cohort who completed Follow-up 5 survey, Follow-up 6 Long survey, and/or Follow-up 7 survey and have addresses available for analysis.. For Aim 4, we will include both survivors and sibling controls in the CCSS cohort who participated in the Follow-up 5, 6, and/or 7 surveys and addresses available.

<u>Key Independent Variable</u>: Rurality is the key independent variable in this analysis. Census tract from each survey will be linked to Rural-Urban Commuting Area (RUCA) Codes to determine rurality. RUCA Codes will be obtained from the 2020 dataset published by the United States Department of Agriculture.<sup>31</sup> Primary RUCA codes range from 1-10 with 1 being "Metropolitan area core" and 10 being "Rural areas". Primary RUCA codes will be categorized as rural or urban according to the University of Washington Rural Health Center Categorization C schema in which <10% of the commuting flow is to an urbanized center.<sup>32</sup> Other classification schemas will be considered based on the distribution (i.e. metropolitan, micropolitan, small town and rural). Since there are varying classifications of rurality which can over- or under-report disparities, we will conduct sensitivity analyses with different measures of rurality such as urban influence codes, rural continuum codes, and the CDC rural classification scheme.<sup>33</sup>

<u>Correlative Factors</u>: Correlative factors will include: insurance, marriage, education, employment, chronic conditions (CTCAE v.5.0; Total number Grade 1-4, Total number of Grade 3-4, by organ system), attained age at survey, race/ethnicity, sex, primary cancer diagnosis, and cancer treatment (chemotherapy type and cumulative dose, radiation therapy field and dose, surgery, and stem cell transplantation). If there is a determination that rurality is associated with our chosen outcomes, a future concept proposal will be generated to explore other small-area measures such as area deprivation index (ADI), Health Professional Shortage Areas (HPSAs) and Medically Underserved Areas (MUAs) as mediators of the relationship between rurality and healthcare utilization, health behaviors, and health status.

## Outcomes of Interest:

### Self-Reported Healthcare Utilization:

Responses to the following questions on the CCSS questionnaires will be analyzed. If there are multiple surveys per survivor, we will use responses from the most recent survey:

1. Medical Care: Questions to determine primary care provider and cancer specialist visits

a. During the PAST 2 YEARS, how many times did you see or talk to the following healthcare providers for medical care? (Choices: None, 1-2, 3-4, 5-10, 11-20, or >20 times) Provider categories include:

A) Primary care doctor in the community

B) Clinician at a cancer center

Related items include: FU5 B2, FU6 Long B2, and FU7 B1 a-b. In FU5 and FU6 Long, survivors were asked "how many times did you see a doctor" and "how many times of the visits to the doctor were related to cancer"; In FU7, survivors were asked about the number of visits in the past 2 years at each type of location.

b. When was the LAST TIME you saw a healthcare provider in each of the following locations where the provider asked you questions or examined you to see whether you had any health problems from your cancer or your cancer treatment? (Choices: <1 year ago, 1-2 years ago, >2 but <5 years ago, ≥5 years ago, or Never) Location categories include:

- A) At a cancer survivor clinic
- B) At a cancer center, but not in a cancer survivor clinic
- C) At my primary care doctor's office

Related items include: FU5 B4; FU6 Long B4 and FU7 B2. In FU7, survivors provided the last time of visit at each type of location.

c. Do you currently have a cancer survivorship care plan and/or a summary of treatment for your cancer (records from your cancer doctor that have details about your cancer treatment and medical tests you should have to check for future health problems)?

Related items include: FU5 B7; FU6 Long B7 and FU7 B5.

2. Medical Tests: Questions to determine adherence to risk-based and general health surveillance

- a. When was the last time you had (Choices: Never, <1 year ago, 1-2 years ago, >2 but <5 years ago, ≥5 years ago, I had one, but don't recall when, or I don't know if I ever had one for medical screening test):
  - A) an echocardiogram, B) a MRI of your heart, E) a home blood stool test to determine whether your stool contains blood, F) sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy to view the colon for signs of cancer or other problems, I) a skin exam for skin cancer by a healthcare provider, J) a mammogram, K) a breast ultrasound, L) a breast MRI, M) a pap smear, N) A PSA or blood test to detect prostate cancer

Related items include: FU5 a-n, FU6 Long C1 a-n, and FU7 C1 a-n.

Prior definitions will be used to describe healthcare utilization.<sup>34,35</sup>

## Self-Reported Health Behaviors:

Responses from the following questions on the CCSS questionnaires will be analyzed:

- 3. Health Habits:
  - a. Alcohol
    - i. During the last 12 months, A) how many alcoholic drinks did you have on a typical day when you drank alcohol?, C) how often did you usually have any kind of drink containing alcohol?, D) how often did you have 5 or more (males) or 4 or more (females) drinks containing any kind of alcohol in a single day?

Related items: FU5 N3 – N6; FU7 M3-M6.

- b. Smoking
  - i. How old were you when you started smoking?
  - ii. Do you smoke cigarettes now?
  - iii. On average, how many cigarettes a day do/did you smoke? Related items: FU5 N8-N10; FU7 M8-M10.
- c. Physical Activity
  - i. During the past month, did you participate in any physical activities or exercises?
  - ii. Now thinking about the vigorous physical activities you do in a usual week, do you do vigorous activities for at least 10 minutes at a time?
  - iii. How many days per week do you do these vigorous activities for at least 10 minutes at a time?
  - iv. On days when you do vigorous activities for at least 10 minutes at a time, how much total time per day do you spend doing these activities?
  - v. The last three questions are repeated for moderate and light activities.

Related items: FU5 N15-N24; FU6 Long D1-D10; FU7 M15-M24.

Prior definitions will be used to describe alcohol consumption and tobacco usage.<sup>38,39</sup> Current drinking will be defined as any answer >0 to question ii.A. Descriptive statistics will be used to calculate number, frequency, and type of substance usage and Prior definitions will be used to describe physical activity.<sup>29</sup> We will compare both percentage of those meeting physical activity standards and those reporting no physical activity between rural and urban survivors. Physical activity standards will be defined using CDC guidelines for adults with at least 150 minutes (2 hours and 30 minutes) or 75 minutes (1 hour and 15 minutes) a week of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity, or an equivalent combination of moderate- and vigorous-intensity aerobic activity.<sup>40</sup> Survivors who answered "no" to participation in any physical activity or exercise in the last month will be considered as having an inactive lifestyle.

### Self-Reported Health Status:

The following questions will be analyzed to determine health status measures:

- 4. Health Status
  - i. General Health: In general, would you say your health is: Excellent, Very good, Good, Fair, Poor
  - ii. Mental Health: 18-item Brief Symptom Inventory
  - iii. Activity Limitations: Over the last 2 years how long... has your health limited you in each of the following activities: B. moderate activities, C. walking uphill, E. walking one block (Choices: Limited >3 months, Limited ≤3 months, not limited)
  - iv. Functional Impairment: Because of any impairment or health problems, do you need help... with A. personal care needs, B. handling routine needs, or C. does it keep you from holding a job or attending school? (Choices: No, Yes)

- v. Cancer-related Pain: Do you currently have pain as a result of your cancer or similar illness, or its treatment? (Choices: No, small amount, medium amount, a lot, very bad, excruciating pain)
- vi. Cancer-related Anxiety: Do you currently have anxieties/fears as a result of your cancer... or its treatment? (Choices: No, small amount, medium amount, a lot, very many, extreme anxiety/fears)

Related items: FU 5 O1, N29, N25-N27, L1-L18, L20, L19; FU6 Long E1, E3 (no questions related to BSI 18, functional impairment, cancer-related pain or cancer-related anxiety); FU7 N1, M29, M25-M27, L1-1L8 L22, L21.

Prior definitions will be used to describe health status in survivors.<sup>41</sup> Survivors with adverse general health will be considered those who answer "poor" for question 4.i. The 18-item Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI-18), a self-report measure of psychological symptoms, will be used for the mental health domain and responses will be scored according to the published manual with each survivor receiving a sex-specific T-score (mean = 50, SD = 10) on the Global Severity Index or one of three symptom scales.<sup>42</sup> T-scores 63 or higher represent the upper 10th percentile of scores reported in a community sample and will be considered to be significantly elevated. Using this cutoff, scores will be classified as elevated vs. not elevated. Activity limitation will be defined as any response to 4.iii in which the survivor answers "limited." Functional impairment will be defined as survivors answering "no" to any questions listed in 4.iv. Survivors with significant cancer-related pain will be defined as those answering "very many" and "extreme anxiety/fears" to question 4.vi.

Statistical Analysis:

Demographic, cancer treatment and other correlative factors will be analyzed using descriptive statistics (median and ranges for continuous variables, percentages for categorical variables) and compared between rural and urban survivors and siblings using two-sample Wilcoxon's rank sum test for continuous variables and Fisher's exact test for categorial variables (Table 1).

**Aim 1:** Descriptive statistics will be used to compare medical visits to a primary care provider (percentage reporting "yes") and cancer specialists (percentage reporting "yes") between rural and urban survivors. Additionally, survivor-focused care including cancer center visits (frequency), cancer-related visits (frequency), and presence of a cancer survivor care plan or summary of treatment (percentage reporting "yes") will be compared between rural and urban survivors using similar methods (Table 2a). Percentage of at-risk survivors receiving surveillance for subsequent malignant neoplasms (SMN) and cardiomyopathy, as well as percentage of survivors adherent to the secondary cancer screening guidelines will be compared between rural and urban (Table 2b). Eligibility for this analysis will be determined for each survey timepoint using the appropriate Children's Oncology Group (COG) Long-Term Follow-Up (LTFU) guidelines (Table 3). Adherence will be defined using the most recent COG guideline at the time of the survey.

Multivariable logistic regression models will be used to determine the odds ratio of rurality on having a recent visit to healthcare providers, having a cancer survivorship care plan and/or summary of cancer treatment, and

adherence to general health surveillance for colorectal, skin, breast (among women), cervical, and cardiac screening (separately for each category). Analysis of cancer screening will only be restricted to applicable survivors as described in Table 3. We will adjust for age, sex, insurance status, presence of chronic conditions, education, and race/ethnicity. Additional covariates with a univariable significance level of <0.1 will be included in multivariable models. For cancer screening, we will also adjust for the risk status.

**Aim 2:** Descriptive statistics will be used to calculate the number and proportion of inactive survivors and those who do not meet physical activity guidelines. These will be compared between urban and rural survivors using multivariate regression (Table 5) adjusting for age, gender, race/ethnicity, and presence of chronic conditions as well as additional covariates with a univariable significance level of <0.1. Comparison between urban and rural survivors using multivariate logistic regression (Table 4). For smoking and drinking behaviors, we will adjust for age, sex, race/ethnicity, education, and age of at first use. Additional covariates with a univariable significance level of <0.1 will be included in multivariable models.

**Aim 3:** Multiple logistic regression models with each health status domain serving as the dependent variable will be used to compare the percentage of survivors reporting adverse status in each domain between rural and urban survivors, adjusting for age, sex, and race/ethnicity (Table 5). Analytic samples may differ between health status domains due to differences in survey items between FU5, FU6 long, and FU7.

**Aim 4:** <u>Outcomes</u> from Aims 1-3 will be assessed among controls using the same regression models except for adherence to late-effects screening and cancer specialist visits as these do not apply to siblings, including survivor/control status and its interaction term with rural/urban status. In each applicable analysis, the obtained aOR will be compared with the aOR in cancer survivors. The multiplicative difference in the aOR, their standard errors and 95% CIs will be computed using Delta method. Comparison of aOR in each domain between survivor and control will also be visualized using forest plots.

Table 1: Demographics of cancer survivors and sibling controls completing FU5, FU6 Long and/or FU7.								
	Car	cer surviv	ors	Sibling controls				
	Rural (n=***)	Urban (n=***)	P- value	Rural (n=***)	Urban (n=***)	P- value		
Sex								
% Male								
% Female								
Age at Cancer Diagnosis								
Median								
Interguartile Range								
Age at Survey								
Median								
Interguartile Range								
Age Category								
Young Adult (18-39.99 years old)								
Middle-Aged Adult (40-64 99 years old)								
Older Adult (65+ years old)								
Race/Ethnicity								
White non-Hispanic								
Black non-Hispanic								
Hispanic								
Other <sup>1</sup>								
Insurance Status								
Insurance Status								
Education								
Loss than high school								
Some college								
Morriago								
First Married								
Ever Married								
Chronic Conditions <sup>2</sup>								
Tetal Number Orada 1.4								
Total Number Grade 1-4								
Total Number Grade 3-4				1				
				/				
Lymphoma								
Central Nervous System Tumors								
Bone and Soft-Lissue Sarcomas								
Neuroblastoma								
Kianey and Liver Tumors								
Other				1				
Cancer I reatment				/				
I reatment Modality								
Chemotherapy-Only								
Treatment Included Radiation								

Treatment Included HSCT			
Chemotherapy Exposure			
Anthracycline Equivalent Dose, mg/m <sup>2</sup> (mean±SD)			
Cyclophosphamide Equivalent Dose, mg/m <sup>2</sup>			
(mean±SD)			
Radiation Field			
Head			
Chest			
Abdomen/Pelvis			
Extremities			
HSCT: Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant, bolded	values sig	nificant at a	a level of
p<0.05			
SD: standard deviation			
<sup>1</sup> Other category includes Asian/Pacific Islander, Nat	ive Americ	an, and mu	lti-racial
<sup>2</sup> Grade defined per CTCAE (Common Terminology	Criteria for	Adverse E	vents)
v.5.0			

Table 2A: Summary Statistics of Medical Care and Screening (descriptive) / logistic regression, cutoff decide later

There is a guideline for medical tests

Medical test: compliant to guideline or not separate ar	nalyses for each category
---	---------------------------

	Rural N	Urban N (%)	p-value	
Medical Care	(70)	(70)		
Primary Care Visit in the past two years				
Survivor-Eocused Care				
Last time of having a cancer-related visit or				
examination				
< 1 vear ago				
<b>1-2</b> vear ago				
>2 and <5 years ago				
>= 5 years ago				
Never				
Cancer Survivor Care Plan/Treatment Summary				
SMN surveillance (Presence of Screening Test)	•	•	· · · · ·	
Colonoscopy or Stool Blood Test				
General population at risk, N=***				
< 1 year ago				
>=1 and <2 years ago				
>2 and <5 years ago				
>= 5 years ago				
Do not recall				
Don't know if ever had one				
Those at increased risk due to treatment				
exposure, N=***				
< 1 year ago				
>=1 and <2 years ago				
>2 and <5 years ago				
>= 5 years ago				
Do not recall				
Don't know if ever had one				
Mammogram or other breast imaging, N = ^^^ at				
IISK Concred population at rick N ***				
General population at risk, N=				
< 1 year ago				
> and $<$ years ago				
$\sim 5$ years and				
Do not recall				
Don't know if ever had one				
Those at increased risk due to treatment				
exposure. N=***				
< 1 year ago				
>=1 and <2 years ago				
>2 and <5 years ago				
>= 5 years ago				
Do not recall				
Don't know if ever had one				
Pap Smear, N = *** at risk				
< 1 year ago				
>=1 and <2 years ago				

>2 and <5 years ago		
>= 5 years ago		
Do not recall		
Don't know if ever had one		
Dermatologic Exam, N = *** at risk		
< 1 year ago		
>=1 and <2 years ago		
>2 and <5 years ago		
>= 5 years ago		
Do not recall		
Don't know if ever had one		
Late Effects Screening (Presence of Screening		
Test)		
Cardiac Screening, N = *** at risk		
< 1 year ago		
1-2 years ago		
>2 and <5 years ago		
>= 5 years ago		
Do not recall		
Don't know if ever had one		
p-values are obtained from Fisher's exact test		

Table 2B: Association between Rurality and Medical Care / Screening									
	Cancer survivors		Sibling contr	Multiplicative difference in aORs (95% CI)					
	OR (95% CI)	aOR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	aOR (95% Cl)					
Medical Care									
Having a recent primary care visit									
Survivor-Focused Care									
Cancer Center Visit			1	1	1				
Having a recent cancer center or cancer-related visit			1	/	1				
Having a Cancer Survivor Care Plan/Treatment			1	1	1				
Summary									
Compliance to screening guide	elines	I		I					
Colorectal									
Breast									
Cervical									
Skin			1	1	1				
aOR: adjusted odds ratio, CI:	confidence inter	val, values cor	nsidered significa	ant at a p-value	< 0.05				
Adjusted for age, sex, insuran	ce status, prese	ence of chronic	conditions, risk	status and race	e/ethnicity				

Table 3: Screening Guidelines									
Secondary Cance	r Screeni	ng							
	Surveys Guidelir	5&6 (2014 an nes v 4.0 (Octob	g COG LTFU	Survey 7 (2019) using COG LTFU Guidelines v 5.0 (October 2018) <sup>37</sup>					
	High F Treatme	Risk Due to ent	Standard Recommend	Risk (ACS lations)	High Risk Du Treatment	ue to	Standar Recomr	d Risk (ACS mendations)	
Colorectal	Radiatio fields at radiation field + re radiation with tota Colonos years be years aff 35 years occurs la	n to specified ≥ 30 Gy or to specified levant spinal and/or TBI I sum ≥30Gy: copy every 5 ginning 10 er radiation or old (whichever ast)	<ol> <li>Feca year age</li> <li>Flex sign ever stari OR</li> <li>Dou barin ever stari OR</li> <li>Colo ever begi 50</li> </ol>	al occult blood rly starting at 50 OR tible noidoscopy ry 5 years ing at age 50 ble contrast um enema ry 5 years ting at age 50 phoscopy ry 10 years inning at age	Radiation to abdo pelvis, spine, or T multitarget stool I test every 3 years colonoscopy ever years beginning 5 after radiation or a (whichever occurs	men, BI: DNA or y 5 years age 30 s lasts)	IA     Type     Test     Frequency       IF     Stool-Based     Fecal     Yearly       5     ears     ears     If the sensitivity, guaiac-based focal occult blood test*     Yearly guaiac-based focal occult blood test*       8     300     Structural Examinations     Colonography*     Every 5 years       1     Flaxble     Flaxble     Every 5 years       1     Flaxble     Flaxble     Every 5 years       1     Flaxble     Every 5 years       1     Flaxble     Every 5 years		
Breast	Radiatio fields or ≥ 20Gy : mammo 8 years a or at age occurs la	n to specified combination at yearly gram beginning after radiation e 25, whichever ast	Mammogram beginning at a	i yearly age 40	Radiation to ches axilla, or TBI: yea mammogram beg 8 years after radia or at age 25, whic occurs last	t, rly inning ation chever	Mammogram: 45-54 years old: yearly ≥55 years: biennial or yearly		
Cervical	No addit based of exposure	ional screening n treatment	Cervical PAP starting age 2 21-29 yo: PA years 30-65 yo: HP every 5 years every 3 years	2 Smear 21 years: P every 3 V and PAP s or PAP alone	No additional scre based on treatme exposure	eening nt	starting at age 21 years: 21-29 yo: PAP every 3 years 30-65 yo: HPV and PAP every 5 years or PAP alone every 3 years		
Skin	Any radi TBI: yea exam to	ation including rly dermatologic irradiated fields	No screening risk patients	creening for standard Any radiation including No screening for standard TBI: yearly dermatologic risk patients exam to irradiated fields		ening for standard ents			
Late Effects Scree	ening								
	Surveys Guidelir	5 <u>&amp;6 (2014 and</u> nes v 4.0 (Octob	2017) using C er 2013) <sup>29</sup>	OG LTFU	Survey 7 (2019) (October 2018) <sup>30</sup>	using C	OG LTFU	Guidelines v 5.0	
Cardiac	Ane at Treatmos	RECOMMENDED FREQUENCY OF EC	OCARDIOGRAM (or comparable	cardiac imaging)	Recommend	ed Frequ	ency of Ec	hocardiogram	
Screening (Echocardiogram	Age at treatmen	to the Hearts	Any	Every year	Anthracycline Dose*	Rad	liation se**	Recommended Frequency	
cardiac imaging)	<1 year old	No	≥ 200 mg/m <sup>2</sup>	Every year	None	< 15 Gy (	ornone	No screening	
		Yes	Any <100 mo/m <sup>2</sup>	Every year Every 5 years		≥ 15 - <	35 Gy	Every 5 years	
	1-4 years old	No	≥100 to <300 mg/m <sup>2</sup>	Every 2 years		≥ 35 Gy		Every 2 years	
			≥300 mg/m <sup>2</sup> <300 mg/m <sup>2</sup>	Every year Every 2 years	< 250 mg/m <sup>2</sup>	< 15 Gy (	ornone	Every 5 years	
		Yes	≥300 mg/m²	Every year		≥ 15 Gy		Every 2 years	
	≥5 years old	No	<200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ≥200 to <300 mg/m <sup>2</sup>	Every 5 years Every 2 years	≥ 250 mg/m <sup>2</sup>	Any or no	ne	Every 2 years	
			≥300 mg/m²	Every year	*Based on doxorubicin in instructions in section 2	sotaxic equi	valent dose. S	ee dose conversion	
	'Age at time of fi	Any age with decrease in seria rst cardiotoxic therapy (anthracycline o	a runction r radiation [see Section 80], which	Every year lever was given first)	**Based on radiation do	se with pote	ntial impact to	heart (radiation to	
	*See Section 80 *Based on doxon	ubicin isotoxic equivalent dose [see co	nversion factors on previous page,	"Info Link (Dose Conversion)"]	cnest, abdomen, spine	enoracić, w	olej, 18i). Sec	e section 76.	
COG LTFU: Children's C	Dincology Gro	up Long-Term Follow	-Up, ACS: America	n Cancer Society, TI	I BI total body irradiation, H	HSCT: herr	natopoietic st	tem cell transplant	

Table 4A: Summary statistics of health behaviors									
	Survivors Controls								
	Rural (N = *)	Urban (N = *)	p-value	Rural (N = *)	Urban (N = *)	p-value			
Alcohol Use									
in the Last 12									
months <sup>1</sup>									
Current									
Drinker,									
N(%)									
Number of									
Alcoholic									
Drinks Per									
Day, Median									
(IQR)									
Frequency									
of Alcohol									
Use ,									
Median(IQR)									
Frequency of									
Risky									
Drinking <sup>2</sup> ,									
Median(IQR)									
Smoking <sup>3</sup>									
Current									
Smoking									
Status, N(%)									
Number of									
cigarettes									
per day,									
Median(IQR)									
OR: odds ratio	, aOR: adjusted	odds ratio, CI:	confidence inte	rval, values cons	idered significa	nt at p-value			

< 0.05

<sup>1</sup>Adjusted odds ratios for drinking behaviors between rural and urban survivors, controlling for well known risk factors for heavy drinking including age, gender, race/ethnicity, education, and age of first drinking. <sup>2</sup>Risky drinking defined as 5 or more drinks containing any kind of alcohol in a single day for males and 4 or more drinks containing any kind of alcohol in a single day for females.

<sup>3</sup>Adjusted odds ratios for smoking behaviors between rural and urban survivors, controlling for age, gender, race/ethnicity, education, and age of first smoking.

<sup>4</sup> Adjusted for age, gender, race/ethnicity, education, presence of chronic conditions.

	Survivors			Controls			
	Rural (N = *)	Urban (N = *)	p-value	Rural (N = *)	Urban (N = *)	p-value	
Physical Activity <sup>4</sup>							
Inadequate Physical Activity <sup>5</sup> , N(%)							
Inactive Lifestyle, N(%)							

<sup>5</sup> Defined as those not meeting CDC guidelines of at least 150 minutes (2 hours and 30 minutes) or 75 minutes (1 hour and 15 minutes) a week of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity, or an equivalent combination of moderate- and vigorous-intensity aerobic activity. p-values were obtaine using Fisher's exact test

Table 4B: Association between Rurality and Medical Care / Screening										
	Cancer survivors		Sibling contro	Multiplicative difference in aORs (95% CI)						
	OR (95% CI)	aOR (95% Cl)	OR (95% CI)	aOR (95% Cl)						
Alcohol Use in the Last 12										
months <sup>1</sup>										
Current Drinker										
Risky Drinking <sup>2</sup>										
Smoking <sup>3</sup>										
Current Smoker										
Heavy smoker										
Physical Activity <sup>4</sup>										
Inadequate Physical Activity <sup>5</sup>										
Inactive Lifestyle										
OR: odds ratio aOR: adjusted	OR: odds ratio aOR: adjusted odds ratio CI: confidence interval values considered significant at n-value									

OR: odds ratio, aOR: adjusted odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, values considered significant at p-value <0.05

<sup>1</sup>Adjusted odds ratios for drinking behaviors between rural and urban survivors, controlling for well known risk factors for heavy drinking including age, gender, race/ethnicity, education, and age of first drinking. <sup>2</sup>Risky drinking defined as 5 or more drinks containing any kind of alcohol in a single day for males and 4 or more drinks containing any kind of alcohol in a single day for females.

<sup>3</sup>Adjusted odds ratios for smoking behaviors between rural and urban survivors, controlling for age, gender, race/ethnicity, education, and age of first smoking.

<sup>4</sup> Adjusted for age, gender, race/ethnicity, education, presence of chronic conditions.

<sup>5</sup> Defined as those not meeting CDC guidelines of at least 150 minutes (2 hours and 30 minutes) or 75 minutes (1 hour and 15 minutes) a week of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity, or an equivalent combination of moderate- and vigorous-intensity aerobic activity.

Table 5: Percent of Rural and Urban Survivors Reporting Adverse Health Status									
	Survivors				Sibli	ngs		Multiplicative	
Domain	Rural	Urban	OR, 95% CI	aOR, 95% Cl	Rural	Urban	OR, 95% CI	aOR, 95% CI	difference in aORs (95% CI)
General Health									
Mental Health									
Activity Limitation									
Functional Impairment									
Cancer- Related Pain									
Cancer- Related Anxiety									
OR: Odds ratio, aOR: adjusted odds ratio, CI: confidence interval									
Adjusted for ag	Adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity								

Figure: Forest plot of adjusted OR comparing rural and urban participants in each domain, stratified by survivor / control status.

# **References:**

- 1. NCCR\*Explorer: An interactive website for NCCR cancer statistics [Internet]. National Cancer Institute; 2023 Sep 7. [updated: 2023 Sep 8; cited 2024 Mar 22]. Available from: https://nccrexplorer.ccdi.cancer.gov.
- 2. Phillips SM, Padgett LS, Leisenring WM, et al. Survivors of childhood cancer in the United States: prevalence and burden of morbidity. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2015;24:653-663. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-14-1418
- 3. Suh E, Stratton KL, Leisenring WM, et al. Late mortality and chronic health conditions in long-term survivors of early-adolescent and young adult cancers: a retrospective cohort analysis from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Lancet Oncol. 2020;21(3):421-435. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30800-9
- 4. Ernst M, Hinz A, Brähler E, et al. Quality of life after pediatric cancer: comparison of long-term childhood cancer survivors' quality of life with a representative general population sample and associations with physical health and risk indicators. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2023;21(1):65. Published 2023 Jul 4. doi:10.1186/s12955-023-02153-7
- Nghiem, VT, Alanaeme, CJ, Mennemeyer, ST, Lennie Wong, F. Healthcare utilization and cost barriers among U.S. childhood cancer survivors. Pediatric Blood and Cancer. 2023 Aug; 70(8): 1545-5009. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.30443</u>
- Mobley EM, Moke DJ, Milam J, Ochoa CY, Stal J, Osazuwa N, Bolshakova M, Kemp J, Dinalo JE, Motala A, Baluyot D, Hempel S. Disparities and Barriers to Pediatric Cancer Survivorship Care. Technical Brief No. 39. (Prepared by the Southern California Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 75Q80120D00009.) Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; March 2021. DOI: 10.23970/AHRQEPCTB39
- Daly A, Lewis RW, Vangile K, Masker KW, Effinger KE, Meacham LR, Mertens AC. Survivor clinic attendance among pediatric- and adolescent-aged survivors of childhood cancer. J Cancer Surviv. 2019 Feb;13(1):56-65. doi: 10.1007/s11764-018-0727-3. Epub 2018 Dec 17. PMID: 30560348.
- 8. Klosky JL, Cash DK, Buscemi J, et al. Factors influencing long-term follow-up clinic attendance among survivors of childhood cancer. J Cancer Surviv. 2008;2(4):225-232. doi:10.1007/s11764-008-0063-0
- 9. Zheng DJ, Sint K, Mitchell HR, Kadan-Lottick NS. Patterns and predictors of survivorship clinic attendance in a population-based sample of pediatric and young adult childhood cancer survivors. J Cancer Surviv. 2016;10(3):505-513. doi:10.1007/s11764-015-0493-4
- Ou, J.Y., Smits-Seemann, R.R., Wu, Y.P. et al. An investigation of survivorship clinic attendance among childhood cancer survivors living in a five-state rural region. J Cancer Surviv 12, 196–205 (2018). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-017-0658-4</u>
- 11. Noyd DH, Janitz AE, Baker AA, et al. Rural, Large Town, and Urban Differences in Optimal Subspecialty Follow-up and Survivorship Care Plan Documentation among Childhood Cancer Survivors. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2023;32(5):634-641. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-22-0966
- 12. Strange L, Lewis R, Ji X, Mertens A, Effinger K. INVESTIGATING RURALITY AND ITS ASSOCIATION WITH CHILDHOOD CANCER SURVIVOR CARE. Poster Session. American Society of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology; 2024 April 3; Seattle, WA, USA.
- 13. Defining rural population. HRSA. (n.d.). Retrieved February 15, 2023, from <u>https://www.hrsa.gov/rural-health/about-us/what-is-rural</u>
- 14. Probst JC, Zahnd WE, Hung P, Eberth JM, Crouch EL, Merrell MA. Rural-Urban Mortality Disparities: Variations Across Causes of Death and Race/Ethnicity, 2013-2017. Am J Public Health. 2020;110(9):1325-1327. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2020.305703
- 15. Probst JC, Barker JC, Enders A, Gardiner P. Current State of Child Health in Rural America: How Context Shapes Children's Health. J Rural Health. 2018;34 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):s3-s12. doi:10.1111/jrh.12222
- 16. Hoogland AI, Hoogland CE, Bardach SH, Tarasenko YN, Schoenberg NE. Health Behaviors in Rural Appalachia. South Med J. 2019;112(8):444-449. doi:10.14423/SMJ.00000000000000000

- Cornelius ME, Loretan CG, Jamal A, et al. Tobacco Product Use Among Adults United States, 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2023;72(18):475-483. Published 2023 May 5. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7218a1
- 18. Castaldelli-Maia JM. Urbanicity and alcohol use epidemiology in the 21st century. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2023;36(3):243-248. doi:10.1097/YCO.000000000000860
- 19. Borders TF, Booth BM. Rural, suburban, and urban variations in alcohol consumption in the United States: findings from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. J Rural Health. 2007;23(4):314-321. doi:10.1111/j.1748-0361.2007.00109.x
- 20. Aday LA. Health status of vulnerable populations. Annu Rev Public Health. 1994;15:487-509. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pu.15.050194.002415. PMID: 8054096.
- 21. Leight SB. The application of a vulnerable populations conceptual model to rural health. Public Health Nurs. 2003;20(6):440-448. doi:10.1046/j.1525-1446.2003.20604.
- 22. Wengenroth L, Sommer G, Schindler M, et al. Income in Adult Survivors of Childhood Cancer. PLoS One. 2016;11(5):e0155546. Published 2016 May 23. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155546
- 23. Kyrönlahti A, Erdmann F, Feychting M, et al. Income disparities between adult childhood cancer survivors and their peers-A register-based cohort study from the SALiCCS research programme. Cancer Med. 2023;12(15):16455-16468. doi:10.1002/cam4.6218
- 24. Kirchhoff AC, Leisenring W, Krull KR, et al. Unemployment among adult survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. Med Care. 2010;48(11):1015-1025. doi:10.1097/MLR.0b013e3181eaf880
- 25. Gurney JG, Krull KR, Kadan-Lottick N, et al. Social outcomes in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(14):2390-2395. doi:10.1200/JCO.2008.21.1458
- 26. Kirchhoff AC, Kuhlthau K, Pajolek H, et al. Employer-sponsored health insurance coverage limitations: results from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Support Care Cancer. 2013;21(2):377-383. doi:10.1007/s00520-012-1523-7
- Janson C, Leisenring W, Cox C, et al. Predictors of marriage and divorce in adult survivors of childhood cancers: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009;18(10):2626-2635. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-0959
- Shinohara Y, Morino T, Shimoura K, et al. Comparison of Psychological Quality of Life Between Long-Term Survivors of Childhood Cancer and Their Families. J Adolesc Young Adult Oncol. 2023;12(3):297-302. doi:10.1089/jayao.2021.0217
- 29. Wilson CL, Stratton K, Leisenring WL, et al. Decline in physical activity level in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2014;23(8):1619-1627. Doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-14-0213
- Daniel LC, Wang M, Mulrooney DA, et al. Sleep, emotional distress, and physical health in survivors of childhood cancer: A report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Psychooncology. 2019;28(4):903-912. doi:10.1002/pon.5040
- 31. Rural-urban commuting area codes. USDA ERS Rural-Urban Commuting Area Codes. (n.d.). Retrieved March 30, 2023, from <u>https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rural-urban-commuting-areacodes.aspx#.U9IO7GPDWHo</u>
- 32. WWAMI Rural Health Research Center. Rural Urban Commuting Area Codes Data. (n.d.). Retrieved March 30, 2023, from <u>https://depts.washington.edu/uwruca/ruca-uses.php</u>
- 33. Long JC, Delamater PL, Holmes GM. Which Definition of Rurality Should I Use?: The Relative Performance of 8 Federal Rural Definitions in Identifying Rural-Urban Disparities. Med Care. 2021;59(Suppl 5):S413-S419. doi:10.1097/MLR.00000000001612
- 34. Cox CL, Hudson MM, Mertens A, et al. Medical screening participation in the childhood cancer survivor study. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169(5):454-462. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2008.588
- 35. Casillas J, Castellino SM, Hudson MM, et al. Impact of insurance type on survivor-focused and general preventive health care utilization in adult survivors of childhood cancer: the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study (CCSS). Cancer. 2011;117(9):1966-1975. doi:10.1002/cncr.25688
- 36. <u>http://www.survivorshipguidelines.org/pdf/COG%20LTFU%20Guidelines%20Archive/Version%204.0/C</u> OG\_LTFU\_Guidelines\_v4%20(secured).pdf
- 37. http://www.survivorshipguidelines.org/pdf/COG%20LTFU%20Guidelines%20Archive/Version%205.0/C OG LTFU Guidelines v5.pdf
- 38. Lown EA, Goldsby R, Mertens AC, Greenfield T, Bond J, Whitton J, Korcha R, Robison LL, Zeltzer LK. Alcohol consumption patterns and risk factors among childhood cancer survivors compared to siblings

and general population peers. Addiction. 2008 Jul;103(7):1139-48. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2008.02242.x. PMID: 18554347; PMCID: PMC2791534.

- 39. Gibson TM, Liu W, Armstrong GT, et al. Longitudinal smoking patterns in survivors of childhood cancer: An update from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Cancer. 2015;121(22):4035-4043. doi:10.1002/cncr.29609
- 40. Piercy KL, Troiano RP, Ballard RM, Carlson SA, Fulton JE, Galuska DA, George SM, Olson RD. The Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. JAMA. 2018 Nov 20;320(19):2020-2028. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.14854. PMID: 30418471; PMCID: PMC9582631.
- 41. Hudson MM, Mertens AC, Yasui Y, Hobbie W, Chen H, Gurney JG, Yeazel M, Recklitis CJ, Marina N, Robison LR, Oeffinger KC; Childhood Cancer Survivor Study Investigators. Health status of adult long-term survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. JAMA. 2003 Sep 24;290(12):1583-92. doi: 10.1001/jama.290.12.1583. PMID: 14506117.
- 42. Derogatis L. Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI) 18: Administration, Scoring, and Procedures Manual. Minneapolis, Minn: National Computer Systems; 2000.