Childhood Cancer Survivor Study Analysis Concept Proposal

Date: October 14, 2013

Study Title: Morbidity and Mortality Associated with Meningiomas following Cranial Radiation Exposure for Childhood Cancer

Working groups: Cancer Control and Subsequent Malignancy

Investigators:

daniel.bowers@utsouthwestern.edu	214-648-8594
<u>claire.mazewski@choa.org</u>	404-785-3515
oeffingk@mskcc.org	646-888-4730
joseph.hodges@utsouthwestern.edu	214-645-7668
jnegila@umn.edu	612-624-3113
greg.armstrong@stjude.org	901-595-5892
moskowic1@mskcc.org	646-735-8117
wleisenr@fhcrc.org	206-667-4374
les.robison@stjude.org	901-595-5817
	daniel.bowers@utsouthwestern.edu claire.mazewski@choa.org oeffingk@mskcc.org joseph.hodges@utsouthwestern.edu jnegila@umn.edu greg.armstrong@stjude.org moskowic1@mskcc.org wleisenr@fhcrc.org les.robison@stjude.org

1. Background and rationale:

1.1 Background: Adult survivors of childhood cancer who have been exposed to cranial radiation therapy have increased rates of subsequent central nervous system (CNS) tumors, including high-grade gliomas and meningiomas.¹⁻¹⁰ The literature reports standardized incidence ratios for a subsequent CNS tumor (including both high-grade gliomas and meningiomas) of 8.1 - 52.3, and an absolute excess risk of a subsequent CNS tumor among childhood cancer survivors of 1.9 - 72.8 per 10,000 person years when compared with the general population.^{1,3,11-13} Furthermore, a study by Cardous-Ubbink reported a standardized incidence ratio of subsequent meningioma among childhood cancer survivors of 41.2 (21.3-71.9)¹⁴

After the first decade following diagnosis of childhood cancer, meningiomas are the most common subsequent CNS tumor.^{5,10,15} Armstrong and colleagues report a 3.3% cumulative incidence of meningiomas at 25 years following a CNS tumor.¹⁵ Importantly, their study and others demonstrate that the rate of subsequent meningiomas does not plateau over time.^{2,15-17} For example, CNS tumor survivors from the CCSS cohort who were meningioma-free at 25 years have a 3.5% cumulative incidence (95% CI = 0.9 - 6.1%) of being diagnosed with a meningioma by 30 years after primary cancer diagnosis. In addition, the cumulative dose of radiation exposure correlates with rates of subsequent meningiomas.^{5,8} Taylor and colleagues' report from the British Childhood Cancer Survivor Study demonstrated a linear correlation between dose of radiation therapy and relative risk of subsequent meningiomas.⁸ In their report, the increased relative risk of subsequent meningiomas first appears at exposure doses of 20 Gy and increases to a relative risk of 479.1 (95% confidence intervals: 25.0 - < 657.2; p = < 0.001) at exposure doses of at least 40 Gy.

In contrast to subsequent high-grade gliomas, which are almost universally fatal, cancer survivors with subsequent meningiomas often have a good overall survival. Four studies of childhood cancer survivors have reported survival rates ranging from 65.9 to 100% following diagnosis of a secondary meningioma,^{10,17-19} which is comparable with the survival of primary meningiomas in young adults.²⁰ Note, however, that the study by Taylor and colleagues, which has the largest sample and longest duration of follow-up, reported the lowest survival rate (65.9%).¹⁹ Furthermore, 25 of 42 reported patient deaths were due to the secondary meningioma.

Little is known about the morbidity that is associated with the occurrence of a subsequent meningioma, but it would be expected to be substantial because of the tumor's location and need for an intracranial surgery and/or radiation therapy to achieve tumor control. Based upon the study of the CCSS cohort by Packer and colleagues, rates of late-onset (> 5 years post-diagnosis) neurological deficits among childhood brain tumor survivors ranged from 1.9 - 11.8%.⁴ Furthermore, radiation exposure was associated with at least some increased risk of late-onset neurological sequelae. Survivors exposed to \geq 50 Gy to the frontal region of the brain had a modestly elevated risk for a motor problem (relative risk (RR) = 2.0; p < .05) compared with those who received a radiation exposure of < 30 Gy. Furthermore, exposure to \geq 30 Gy to any segment of the brain, with the exception of the posterior fossa, was associated with more than a two-fold elevated risk for a late-effect seizure disorder.

Screening Practices for Secondary Meningiomas: Given the high rates of subsequent 1.2 meningiomas, experts have discussed the potential benefits of screening for meningiomas among childhood cancer survivors who have been exposed to cranial radiation. Three single institution case series have examined screening neuro-imaging among childhood cancer survivors exposed to cranial radiation therapy and reported high rates of subsequent meningiomas (Table A).²¹⁻²³ These studies screened a total of 152 patients and found a rate of subsequent meningiomas of 18%. As a result, these studies' authors have recommended routine screening of childhood cancer survivors exposed to radiation therapy for meningiomas in order to facilitate easier resection and reduce mortality and morbidity among survivors with small tumors. Despite these recommendations, a recent survey by Bowers and colleagues of COG member institutions examining imaging practices of survivors of malignant brain tumors treated with cranial radiation therapy reported that only 42% (56/133) of institutions reported performing MRIs beyond 10 years after diagnosis.²⁴ A better understanding of tumor-related mortality and morbidity due to subsequent meningiomas would be important to make more informed recommendations for screening.

Study	Initial Diagnosis	Total Number of Survivors	Number of Survivors Screened	Number of Meningiomas Identified	Interval from Initial Cancer Diagnosis to Meningioma Diagnosis
Banerjee J ²¹	ALL	60	49	11 (22%)	25 years (mean)
Goshen Y ²²	ALL	88	76	16* (21%)	21 years (median)
Pääkkö E ²³	Non-CNS tumor survivors	44	27	2 (7%)	16 years (median)
Total		192	152	28 (18%)	

 Table A: Studies Describing Asymptomatic Meningiomas Detected by Screening MRI of Childhood Cancer Survivors

*Included 1 symptomatic meningioma.

Screening recommendations for subsequent meningiomas may be justified because the incidence of subsequent meningiomas is sufficiently high, there is a sensitive screening instrument for detection, MRI and there is an effective intervention, surgical resection that can safely and possibly reduce meningioma-related morbidity and mortality. However, at present, tumor-related morbidity and mortality among childhood cancer survivors with subsequent meningiomas is poorly understood. The three studies examining screening MRI reported above make no mention of tumor-related morbidity and mortality of study subjects.²¹⁻²³

The primary aims of this study will be to examine the neoplasm-related morbidity and mortality among childhood cancer survivors who develop subsequent meningiomas and characterize risk factors for morbidity and mortality in this population.

2. Scientific aims/objectives/research hypotheses:

Hypothesis: High rates of meningioma-related morbidity and mortality exist among childhood cancer survivors exposed to cranial radiation (CRT).

Specific Aims:

1. Report the incidence of meningiomas more than 5 years after primary cancer and characterize these meningiomas among childhood cancer survivors who are exposed to cranial radiation and explore associated risk factors. (Tables 1, 2 and 3).

2. Estimate the frequency of neurologic sequelae among survivors of childhood cancer who develop subsequent meningiomas and explore differences by primary cancer and treatment related factors. (Table 4).

3. Describe mortality among childhood cancer survivors with subsequent meningiomas (Table 2).

3. Study Population:

The study population will consist of childhood cancer survivors from the original CCSS cohort who have been exposed to cranial radiation therapy within 5 years of their primary cancer diagnosis, including those with and without a diagnosis of a subsequent meningioma. Survivors will include those who completed at least one LTFU questionnaire (including the baseline, 2003, or 2007 questionnaires).

As of February of 2012, there were 162 CCSS participants who had been diagnosed with 186 meningiomas that have been confirmed by pathology reports (CCSS Investigator's Meeting Report, 2012). We expect that nearly all of these were in people treated with CRT.

4. Analysis framework: This is a study of childhood cancer survivors from the CCSS cohort who are exposed to cranial radiation to examine subsequent meningioma-related morbidity and mortality.

Outcomes: The outcomes of interest include meningiomas identified as subsequent neoplasms, both benign and malignant meningiomas (ICDO-2 codes 9530-9539) and meningioma-related morbidity and mortality. Although frequency of meningiomas experienced within five years of primary diagnosis will be summarized, formal analyses will be restricted to those occurring more than five years after primary cancer.

Tumor-related morbidity will be assessed by the occurrence of neurological sequelae from the current chronic conditions data set with onset within 12 months of a diagnosis of meningioma (pre- or post-diagnosis), including auditory vestibular-visual sensory deficits, focal neurologic dysfunction, seizures, headaches and surgery (e.g., craniectomy) as listed in Table B below. Mortality will be assessed by patient death, interval from diagnosis of meningioma to patient death, and cause of death.

Table B: Specific questions	, including grades of	severity, to be examined:
-----------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------

	S			
Outcomes:	Baseline	Follow-up	Follow-up	Relevant diagnoses codes found in
Auditory Vestibular Visual	Survey	2000	2007	lexiboxes
Auditory-Vestibular-Visual Hearing loss requiring a hearing aid and/or deafness in one or both ears	C.1=Yes or C.2=Yes or C.3=Yes or ICD9 code found in C.7 or C.17	12.a=Yes or 12.b=Yes or 12.c=Yes	D.1=Yes or D.2=Yes or ICD9 code found in D.7 or D.20	389.7 Deaf nonspeaking, not elsewhere classifiable
Tinnitus	C.4=Yes or ICD9 code found in C.7	not asked	D.4=Yes or ICD9 code found in D.7	 388.1 Noise effects on inner ear 388.3 Tinnitus 388.30 Tinnitus, unspecified
Vertigo/persistent dizziness Legal blindness in one or both eyes	C.5=Yes C.8=Yes or relevant ICD9 dx code found in textbox for C.15	not asked 12.d=Yes	D.5=Yes D.8=Yes or D.9=Yes or ICD9 code found in textbox for D.18	 369.0, 369.00 Profound impairment of both eyes 369.1 Moderate or severe impairment, better eye, profound impairment lesser eye 369.4 Legal blindness, as defined in U.S.A. 369.6 Profound impairment, one eye 369.61 One eye: total impairment; other eye: not specified
Double vision	C.11=Yes or ICD9 code found in C.15	not asked	D.12=Yes or ICD9 code found in D.18	• 368.2 Diplopia
Focal Neurological Dysfun	ction:			
Coordination problems (problems with balance, equilibrium, reaching for/manipulating objects, movements and/or tremors)	J.8=Yes or J.9=Yes	not asked	K.5=Yes or K.6=Yes	
Motor problems: weakness or inability to move arms or legs	J.10=Yes or J.11=Yes	not asked	K.11=Yes or K.12=Yes	
Decreased touch or feeling	J.12=Yes	not asked	K.8=Yes	
Other Neurological Compli	cations:			
Pain or abnormal sensation	J.13=Yes		K.9=Yes K 10=Yes	
Epilepsy, repeated seizures, convulsions or blackouts	J.4=Yes or J.5=Yes or ICD9 code found in J5 textbox	12.g=Yes or 12.h=Yes or ICD9 code found in 12.h textbox	K.2=Yes or ICD9 code found in K.2 textbox	 345.0, 345.00 Generalized nonconvulsive epilepsy 345.1, 345.10 Generalized convulsive epilepsy 345.3 Grand mal status 345.4 Localization-related (focal) (partial) epilepsy and epileptic syndromes with complex partial seizures 345.5, 345.50 Localization-related (focal) (partial) epilepsy and epileptic syndromes with simple partial seizures 345.7 Epilepsia partialis continua 345.8, 345.80 Other forms of epilepsy and recurrent seizures 345.9, 345.90, 345.91 Epilepsy, unspecified 780.39 Other convulsions Convulsive disorder NOS, Fits NOS, Recurrent convulsions NOS, Seizure NOS, Seizures NOS
Seizure Medications	B.8.11=Yes, what medication?	6.k=Yes, what medication?	K.2=yes, what medication?	
Migraine or other	J.6=Yes or	not asked	K.3=Yes or	
Surgery (e.g., craniectomy)	I.31=Yes, what surgery?	21.a=Yes or 21b=Yes or 21c=Yes, what surgery?	J.37=Yes, what surgery?	

Explanatory variables to be studied:

Explanatory variables to be studied will include demographic variables, including: age at diagnosis of primary cancer (years), age at diagnosis of meningioma (years), interval from diagnosis of primary cancer to diagnosis of meningioma (years), interval of follow-up

(years), sex, race/ethnicity, primary cancer diagnosis (leukemia, CNS tumor, other), exposure to cranial radiation therapy (dose), history of other subsequent neoplasms, family history of cancer, vital status, cyclophosphamide equivalent dose²⁵ (CED, mg/m²), pathology (benign versus malignant), and causes of death.

Data summarizing the results from the study will be presented in the following tables: Tables 1 and 2 will describe demographic and clinical features of childhood cancer survivors who are exposed to cranial radiation and are/are not diagnosed with subsequent meningiomas. Table 3 will calculate standard incidence ratios (SIRs) and excess absolute risk for subsequent meningiomas among childhood cancer survivors exposed to cranial radiation, including an examination of risk factors for elevated excess absolute risk for subsequent meningiomas. Table 4 will calculate the incidence, magnitude of severity, and risk factors for late-onset neurologic sequelae that coincide with the diagnosis of a subsequent meningioma among survivors of childhood cancer. Finally, Table 2 will describe meningioma-related mortality among childhood cancer survivors with subsequent meningiomas.

Analytic plan

Aim 1: We will estimate the cumulative incidence of subsequent meningiomas from 5 years post childhood cancer diagnosis to the first occurrence of meningioma, treating death as a competing risk. Standardized incidence ratios will be estimated using gender-, age-, and calendar year-specific from SEER. The absolute excess risk will be calculated as the observed number of meningiomas minus the expected number, divided by the number of person years at risk and multiplied by 10,000. The association between a meningioma diagnosis and primary cancer treatment-related factors and baseline demographic factors will be evaluated using Cox proportional hazards regression.

Aim 2: We will estimate the frequency of each of the neurological sequelae together with 95% confidence intervals. Only neurological deficits that are reported to have occurred within 12 months of a meningioma diagnosis will be included in this analysis. If the timing of the onset of the neurological outcome is missing, we will impute it using multiple imputation for event-time imputations.^{26,27} The frequencies will be reported separately and by treatment-related factors.

Aim 3: Among CCSS participants with meningioma, we will evaluate overall survival using the Kaplan-Meier product limit estimator from the time of diagnosis with meningioma. Cox proportional hazards models will be used to assess if survivors treated with cranial radiation who are diagnosed with a subsequent meningioma differ with respect to their overall survival compared with survivors treated with cranial radiation dose (either by average dose, maximal dose, or quadrant). Meningioma will be treated as a time-dependent covariate in this model. Neurologic-specific mortality excluding strokes (using a combined outcome of a neurologic sequelae excluding stroke) will also be described. Depending upon the number of deaths observed in this category, we may estimate the neurologic-specific cumulative incidence treating death from other causes as a competing risk.²⁸

Figures:

- 1. Cumulative Incidence of Subsequent Meningioma
- 2. Overall Survival from Meningioma Diagnosis
- 3. Neurologic-specific Cumulative Incidence (Optional pending evaluation of available numbers of events)

Table 1: Characteristics of Childhood Cancer Survivors who were Exposed to Cranial Radiation
Therapy who Did and Did Not Develop Subsequent Meningiomas

Characteristic	Meningioma (n =)	No Meningioma
Median age at diagnosis of primary	/	//
cancer, vears (range)		
Age at original diagnosis, years, n (%)		•
< 5		
5 – 10		
11 – 15		
>15		
Median age at last follow-up, years		
(range)		
Current age, years, n (%)		
< 20		
20 – 29		
30 – 39		
> 40		
Median duration of follow-up, years		
(range)		
Sex, n (%)		1
Males		
Females		
Race/ethnicity, n (%)	Γ	1
White, non-Hispanic		
Black, non-Hispanic		
Hispanic, non-Hispanic		
Other		
Primary cancer diagnosis, <i>n</i> (%)		1
Othor		
Exposure to erapial radiation therapy, n	(9/)	
0.1 10 Cv		1
0.1 - 19 Gy		
> 40 Gy		
Cyclophosphamide Equivalent Dose ²⁵		
(CED, mg/m ²)		
0		
>0 - <4,000		
≥4,000 - < 8,000		
≥8,000		
History of other subsequent neoplasms		
(%)		
Family history of cancer (%)		
Vital status, number alive, (%)		

Table 2: Clinical	Characteristics	of Patients with	Subsequent	Meningiomas
-------------------	-----------------	------------------	------------	-------------

Characteristic:	Result:
Median age at diagnosis of meningioma, years (range)	
Age at meningioma diagnosis, n (%)	
< 15 years	
15 – 19 years	
20 – 24 years	
25 – 29 years	
30 – 34 years	
> 35 years	
Median interval from original cancer diagnosis to meningioma	
diagnosis (range), years	
Interval between primary cancer and meningioma diagnosis, n (%)	
5 – 9 years	
10 – 14 years	
15 – 19 years	
20 – 24 years	
> 25 years	
Pathology, n (%)	
Benign meningioma	
Malignant meningioma	
Cause of death among participants exposed to radiation and	d who develop subsequent
meningiomas (%)	
Original cancer	
Subsequent meningiomas	
Other	

			Standardized	Excess	
			Incidence Ratio	Absolute Risk	
Variable	Observed, n	Expected, n	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	p value
All patients with subsequent					
meningiomas after CRT					
Primary cancer					
Leukemia					
CNS Tumors					
Other cancers					
Sex					
Male					
Female					
Age at primary cancer diagnos	is (years)				
< 5					
6-10					
11 – 15					
>15					
Interval from primary cancer of	liagnosis to subs	equent mening	ioma (years)		
5 – 19					
20 – 29					
> 30					
First-degree relative with canc	er				
Yes					
No					

Table 3: Standardized Incidence Ratios of Subsequent Meningiomas after cranial RT

Table 4: Overall Frequency of Neurological Sequelae within ± 12 months of diagnosis of a Subsequent Meningiomas among Childhood Cancer Survivors.

			Anu Neurelest-				
Risk Factors	Number of Patients	Auditory- Vestibular-Visual Sensory Deficits No. (%) [95% CI]	Focal Neurological Dysfunction No. (%) [95% Cl]	Any Seizure Disorder No. (%) [95% Cl]	Any Headache No. (%) [95% CI]	Any Neurologic Sequelae No. (%) [95% Cl]	
Primary Cancer Diagnos	sis						
Leukemia							
CNS tumor							
Other							
Age at Diagnosis of Prin	nary Cancer (years)					
0-4.9							
5 - 9.9							
10 - 14.9							
15+							
Interval Since Diagnosis	(years)						
5 – 9							
10 - 19							
20 – 29							
30+							
Interval from Primary C	ancer Diagno	sis to Meningioma Dia	ignosis (years)				
5 – 9							
10 - 19							
20 – 29							
30+							
Age at Diagnosis of Sub	sequent Men	ingioma Diagnosis (ye	ars)				
<19.9							
20 – 29.9 years							
30 – 39.9 years							
>40 years							
Radiation Exposure							
No exposure							
0.1 - 20 Gy							
20 – 40 Gy							
> 40 Gy							
Number of Meningioma	as/Patient						
1							
≥2							

5. References:

1. Rosso P, Terracini B, Fears TR, et al. Second malignant tumors after elective end of therapy for a first cancer in childhood: A multicenter study in Italy. International Journal of Cancer 1994;59:451-6.

2. Vinchon M, Leblond P, Caron S, Delestret I, Baroncini M, Coche B. Radiationinduced tumors in children irradiated for brain tumor: a longitudinal study. Child's Nervous System 2011;27:445-53.

3. Jenkinson H, Hawkins M. Secondary brain tumors in children with ALL. Lancet 1999;354:1126.

4. Packer RJ, Gurney JG, Punyko JA, et al. Long-term neurologic and neurosensory sequelae in adult survivors of a childhood brain tumor: Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2003;21:3255-61.

5. Neglia J, Robison L, Stovall M, et al. New primary neoplasms of the central nervous system in survivors of childhood cancer: a report From the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study J Nat Cancer Inst 2006;98:1528-37.

6. Inskip PD, Curtis RE. New malignancies following childhood cancer in the United States, 1973–2002. International Journal of Cancer 2007;121:2233-40.

7. Olsen JH, Möller T, Anderson H, et al. Lifelong Cancer Incidence in 47 697 Patients Treated for Childhood Cancer in the Nordic Countries. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2009;101:806-13.

8. Taylor AJ, Little MP, Winter DL, et al. Population-based risks of CNS tumors in survivors of childhood cancer: the British Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2010;28:5287-93.

9. Friedman DL, Whitton J, Leisenring W, et al. Subsequent Neoplasms in 5-Year Survivors of Childhood Cancer: The Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2010;102:1083-95.

10. Walter A, Hancock M, Pui C, et al. Secondary brain tumors in children treated for acute lymphoblastic leukemia at St Jude Children's Research Hospital. J Clin Oncol 1998;16:3761-7.

11. Baker KS, DeFor TE, Burns LJ, Ramsay NKC, Neglia JP, Robison LL. New Malignancies After Blood or Marrow Stem-Cell Transplantation in Children and Adults: Incidence and Risk Factors. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2003;21:1352-8.

12. Löning L, Zimmermann M, Reiter A, et al. Secondary neoplasms subsequent to Berlin-Frankfurt-Münster therapy of acute lymphoblastic leukemia in childhood: significantly lower risk without cranial radiotherapy. Blood 2000;95:2770-5.

13. Bhatia S, Sather HN, Pabustan OB, Trigg ME, Gaynon PS, Robison LL. Low incidence of second neoplasms among children diagnosed with acute lymphoblastic leukemia after 1983. Blood 2002;99:4257-64.

14. Cardous-Ubbink MC, Heinen RC, Bakker PJM, et al. Risk of second malignancies in long-term survivors of childhood cancer. European Journal of Cancer 2007;43:351-62.

15. Armstrong GT, Liu Q, Yasui Y, et al. Long-Term Outcomes Among Adult Survivors of Childhood Central Nervous System Malignancies in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2009;101:946-58.

16. Maule M, Scélo G, Pastore G, et al. Risk of Second Malignant Neoplasms After Childhood Leukemia and Lymphoma: An International Study. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2007;99:790-800.

17. Hijiya N, Hudson MM, Lensing S, et al. Cumulative Incidence of Secondary Neoplasms as a First Event After Childhood Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association 2007;297:1207-15.

18. Ghim TT, Seo J-J, O'Brien M, Meacham L, Crocker I, Krawiecki N. Childhood intracranial meningiomas after high-dose irradiation. Cancer 1993;71:4091-5.

19. Taylor AJ, Frobisher C, Ellison DW, et al. Survival After Second Primary Neoplasms of the Brain or Spinal Cord in Survivors of Childhood Cancer: Results From the British Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2009;27:5781-7.

20. McCarthy BJ, Davis FG, Freels S, et al. Factors associated with survival in patients with meningioma. Journal of Neurosurgery 1998;88:831-9.

21. Banerjee J, Pääkkö E, Harila M, et al. Radiation-induced meningiomas: A shadow in the success story of childhood leukemia. Neuro Oncol 2009;11:543-9.

22. Goshen Y, Stark B, Kornreich L, Michowiz S, Feinmesser M, Yaniv I. High incidence of meningioma in cranial irradiated survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatric Blood & Cancer 2007;49:294-7.

23. Pääkkö E, Talvensaari K, Pyhtinen J, Lanning M. Late cranial MRI after cranial irradiation in survivors of childhood cancer. Neuroradiology 1994;36:652-5.

24. Bowers DC, Adkihari S, El-Khashab YM, Gargan L, Oeffinger KC. Survey of longterm follow-up programs in the United States for survivors of childhood brain tumors. Pediatric Blood & Cancer 2009;53:1295-301.

25. Green DM, Nolan VG, Goodman PJ, et al. The cyclophosphamide equivalent dose as an approach for quantifying alkylating agent exposure: A report from the childhood cancer survivor study. Pediatric Blood & Cancer 2013:n/a-n/a.

26. DB R. Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons; 1987.

27. Taylor JMG, Muñoz A, Bass SM, Saah AJ, Chmiel JS, Kingsley LA. Estimating the distribution of times from HIV seroconversion to aids using multiple imputation. Statistics in Medicine 1990;9:505-14.

28. Gooley TA, Leisenring W, Crowley J, Storer BE. Estimation of failure probabilities in the presence of competing risks: new representations of old estimators. Statistics in Medicine 1999;18:695-706.