#### CHILDHOOD CANCER SURVIVOR STUDY Analysis Concept Proposal

- **1. TITLE:** Follow-Up of Long Term Survivors of Hodgkin's Disease Diagnosed in Childhood and Adolescence A Report from the Childhood Cancer Survivors Study
- 2. WORKING GROUP AND INVESTIGATORS: This proposed study will be within the Cancer Control, Chronic Disease and Neuropsychological Working Groups. Collaborating investigators will include:

Sharon Castellino	scastell@wfubmc.edu	336-716-6724
Melissa Hudson	melissa.hudson@stjude.org	901-495-3445
Ann Mertens	mertens@epi.umn.edu	612-626-9689
Kevin Oeffinger	oeffingk@mskcc.org	212-639-8469
Louis Constine	louis_constine@urmc.rochester.edu	585-275-5622
Brad Zebrack	zebrack@usc.edu	213-821-3111
Les Robison	les.robison@stjude.org	901-495-3384
Wendy Leisenring	wleisenr@fhcrc.org	206-667-4374
Marilyn Stovall	mstovall@mdanderson.org	713 745-8999
Chuck Sklar	sklarc@mskcc.org	212 639-8138
Ann Geiger	ageiger@wfubmc.edu	336-716-0382
Janet Tooze	jtooze@wfubmc.edu	336-716-3833

#### 3. BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE:

Hodgkin's disease (HD) is a radiation and chemotherapy responsive lymphoma that may present in children, adolescents/young adults, or the elderly. Prior to the 1970s, treatment approaches were similar for adults and children and involved high-dose (35-44 Gy), extended-field radiation with or without combination chemotherapy. With increased disease-free survival, pediatric investigators recognized that irradiation of large volumes of tissue/organs to high doses produced unacceptable long-term effects in the physically immature patient (1, 2). These concerns motivated the development of combinedmodality therapy regimens in which cycles of chemotherapy replaced a portion of the radiation therapy in laparotomy-staged children (3). As with adults, early combination chemotherapy in children largely utilized mechlorethamine, vincristine, procarbazine, and prednisone (MOPP) and/or Adriamycin, bleomycin, vincristine, dacarbazine (ABVD) or similar derivative regimens in combination with low-dose (15-25.5 Gy), involved-field radiation. By the 1980s, this evolution of therapy resulted in achievement of 5-year disease-free survival rates in children and adolescents in the range of 75% to 90%(3). After demonstrating efficacy of the combined modality treatment approach using systemic therapy with reduced radiation doses and treatment fields, increasing recognition of late sequelae after high dose radiation like second malignancies and cardiovascular disease, as well as subsequent advances in diagnostic imaging technology provided the impetus for investigators to abandon surgical staging and the use of radiation as a single treatment modality in the 1990s.

As survival potential for patients with HD improved, appreciation of cancer-related late sequelae has become increasingly apparent with aging of this group. Second malignant neoplasms (SMN) (4-7), anthracycline- and radiation-mediated cardiovascular toxicity (8, 9), pulmonary insufficiency (10, 11), impaired fertility (12-15), thyroid dysfunction (16, 17), musculoskeletal abnormalities (18) and late psychological sequelae (19, 20) are among the most common sequelae documented in numerous studies of survivors of HD. In the CCSS study evaluating chronic health conditions in adults surviving childhood cancer, HD survivors were among the 3 diagnostic groups at highest risk to report having severe or life-threatening medical conditions or multiple conditions (21-23). The majority of studies to date have focused on specific late sequelae, and hence been limited in their ability to comprehensively evaluate long-term overall health, including the physical and psychosocial cancer-related morbidity in a large survivor population with well-characterized socio-demographic, diagnostic and treatment information.

The 2383 survivors of HD participating in the CCSS provide a unique opportunity to identify host- and treatment-related factors predisposing to mortality and morbidity in aging HD survivors. Previous CCSS investigations have provided insight regarding the impact of cancer and its therapy on various aspects of HD survivor health:

<u>Mortality</u>: Five year survivors of HD comprise 13% of the CCSS cohort. At the initial evaluation for mortality in the CCSS, 328 of the 2383 five-year survivors of Hodgkin's disease in the cohort had died, which accounted for 16% of late mortality and represented an age- and sex-adjusted SMR of 8.3 (95% CI 7.4-9.2) (25). Late mortality was due to recurrence of HD in 41.5% (n=136) of the deceased. Second malignancy accounted for 69 late deaths (21%), and cardiac, pulmonary, and other causes accounted for the rest.

<u>Chronic health conditions</u>: In the analysis of chronic health conditions in adult survivors in the CCSS, Oeffinger *et al.* (22) demonstrated that HD survivors had a 10-fold (RR 10.2; 95%CI: 9.3-12.5) and an almost 9-fold (RR 8.7; 95% CI: 7.4-10.2) excess risk of reporting a serious or life-threatening health condition and 2 or more chronic health conditions, respectively, in comparison to the age matched sibling cohort. Of greater concern is the demonstration that the incidence of conditions increases over time, without evidence of plateau.

<u>Health status</u>: Hudson *et al.* demonstrated that adult survivors in the CCSS are at substantial risk for adverse health status, a reflection of morbidity (20). The most frequent impacted health domains included mental health (impaired in almost 18% of HD survivors) and cancer-related anxiety (reported in almost 16%). HD survivors had higher odds of adverse health staus in general health (RR 2.7; 95% CI: 2.2-3.4), functional status (RR 2.4; 95% CI: 1.8-3.3), activity limitations (RR 2.1; 95% CI: 1.7-2.7) and mental health (RR 2.0; 95% CI: 1.6-2.4) domains when compared to their siblings. Overall, 40% of HD survivors of the CCSS reported adverse status in at least one domain.

<u>*Psychosocial:*</u> Zebrack et al (19) found that HD survivors did have an elevated risk of depression and somatization, but this did not attain statistical significance when corrected for gender and SES. The role of other medical morbidities contributing to their adverse psychological health remains unclear.

<u>SMN</u>: HD disease survivors were one of the first survivor groups to bring the recognition of SMNs to the forefront of survivorship concerns. In the initial evaluation of the CCSS, Neglia et al demonstrated that 37.2% of SMNs (n=111 of 298) occurred in HD survivors, with a cumulative risk of 7.63% at 20 yrs and absolute risk of 5.13 per 1000 person-years (4). In fact, in that analysis HD survivors had the highest relative risk (RR 2.34; 95%CI, 1.44-3.81) for a SMN compared to patients with any other primary cancer diagnosis. This risk was largely accounted for by the high incidence of breast cancer. In a subsequent study examining breast cancer in the CCSS, 68% of all cases occurred in HD survivors (5). Secondary leukemias, sarcomas and thyroid cancers represent the second and third most common histologic subtypes of SMNs in HD survivors.

<u>Gonadal dysfunction</u>: HD survivors comprised 30% of female participants in the CCSS reporting acute ovarian failure (AOF). HD was a significant risk factor for AOF in the univariate analysis, but did not retain significance in the multivariable model after inclusion of age at diagnosis and exposure to pelvic radiotherapy and specific aklylating agents (15). However, in a study of premature menopause in the CCSS, survivors of HD had a 9-fold higher rate of non-surgical premature menopause compared to other survivors. This evaluation, which included 404 female survivors of HD, indicated an excess risk for this group after controlling for age at diagnosis, attained age and exposure to ovarian irradiation and alkylating agents (14). The assessment of male gonadal function is more challenging, and true fertility rates are difficult to determine in male cancer survivors. However, in a study of partners of male survivors in the CCSS, Green et al reported the rate of miscarriage was higher for partners of male survivors who received > 5 gm/m2 of procarbazine, a chemotherapeutic agent commonly used in regimens for HD (13).

Although there are established risks for other late morbidities in HD survivors, the incident risk period for specific late complications and the pattern of less severe co-morbidities has not been examined in pediatric HD survivors. For example, it is known that CCSS survivors who received chest irradiation have an increased relative risk of pulmonary fibrosis and respiratory symptoms (11). However, the extent to which HD patients account for this population has not been assessed. With regard to endocrine late effects, thyroid dysfunction following gland irradiation has been well described. In the CCSS, HD survivors exhibited a 17-fold excess risk of hypothyroidism and a 27-fold excess risk of thyroid nodules compared to sibling controls (17). Furthermore, this group has a risk of thyroid cancer which was 18 times that of the general population. Although it is likely that the risk of thyroid dysfunction is correlated to the risks of other radiation mediated late morbidities, this has not been previously demonstrated in HD survivors.

Anthracycline chemotherapy and thoracic radiation are well-established contributing variables to cardiovascular morbidity (8, 9) among survivors of HD. However, cardiovascular outcomes have not been well studied in HD survivors in the CCSS in the context of other co-morbidities. Bowers et al report a RR of 5.62 (in comparison to sibling cohort) of late occurring stroke in HD survivors within the CCSS, all of whom received mantle irradiation, and many of whom had associated arrhythmia, valvular disease and/or congestive heart failure. It is therefore likely that the risk of heart disease will be similarly increased in the HD survivor population.

While CCSS reports to date have characterized the morbidity in HD survivors, the specific cancer treatment, potential treatment and host interactions and associated late sequelae remain to be characterized. The goal of the current proposal is to characterize cause-specific morbidity and mortality in HD survivors in the CCSS, in a way that will be comprehensive of currently understood treatment and host risk factors. This focus will provide useful information to clinicians and survivors by more specifically characterizing groups at risk for treatment morbidity. The scope of this proposal is deliberately broad in order to encompass the late medical and psychosocial effects on the survivor of childhood HD.

### 4. SPECIFIC AIMS/OBJECTIVES/RESEARCH HYPOTHESES:

1. To estimate the cumulative incidence of overall mortality, late relapse, SMN, and selected serious health conditions occurring since cancer diagnosis among long-term survivors of HD who are  $\geq 5$  yrs. from diagnosis. The medical conditions to be evaluated will be limited to severe (grade 3-5) cardiac and pulmonary toxicity.

<u>Hypothesis 1a</u>: The incidence of late relapse increases for a defined period of time beyond 5 yrs and then plateaus.

<u>Hypothesis 1b</u>: The incidence of SMN, selected health conditions and of death in this cohort continues to rise with increasing time since initial diagnosis.

**2.** To determine the impact of host factors and treatment factors on non-relapse mortality in survivors of HD.

Hypothesis 2:

- Hypothesis 2a: Non-relapse mortality will be associated with treatment intensity (more than 1 regimen) and treatment pattern (supra-diaphragmatic irradiation).
- Hypothesis 2b: Non- relapse mortality will be associated with younger age at diagnosis

3. To determine the incidence of self-reported chronic medical conditions by severity (grade) in HD survivors, and to assess the impact of treatment factors on these outcomes. The chronic conditions (Table 1) will be limited to: thyroid, cardiac, pulmonary, esophageal/gastrointestinal, renal, gonadal dysfunction, musculoskeletal, or neurological (including chronic pain) and SMN as defined by Oeffinger et al.

<u>Hypothesis 3</u>: The risk of chronic health conditions will be related to treatment pattern.

• Hypothesis 3a: The risk of SMN of breast, thyroid or esophagus will be associated with survivors who received supra-diaphragmatic radiation therapy.

- Hypothesis 3b: Thyroid dysfunction (grade 1-4) is a surrogate marker for host radio-sensitivity, and hence survivors with thyroid dysfunction will be more likely to be at risk for SMNs of breast, thyroid, and esophagus, and for grade 3-5 cardiac and pulmonary disease
- Hypothesis 3c: Grade 3-5 musculoskeletal, cardiac and pulmonary disease and neurological conditions will be associated with neck and mantle field irradiation.
- Hypothesis 3d: Gonadal dysfunction is associated with infradiaphragmatic irradiation, and is associated with a lower risk of breast SMN in HD survivors.
- 4. To determine if social outcomes (including insurance coverage, employment and education level, and marital status) of HD survivors are associated with, or independent of report of grade 3-5 chronic pain, or with mental health status (depression, anxiety, somatization), as indicated on the BSI-18.

<u>Hypothesis</u>: Lower rates of employment and educational achievement will be associated with chronic pain and with indicators of impaired mental health status.

# 5. ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK:

## Subjects

The proposed analysis will encompass all respondents with HD disease in the CCSS, including patients deceased at study entry but who survived  $\geq 5$  yrs from initial diagnosis. This analysis will include those who were both children and adults at time of enrollment in CCSS.

Measures

Variables of interest for this analysis are delineated in Table 1.

Table 1	Outcome an	d explanatory	variable of in	terest for Hoc	løkin's analysis
I able I.	Outcome un	a explanator	variable of m		francis analysis

Outcome variables	Source	CCSS Questionnaire
Age at Recurrence/ relapse of HD	Self-report	Baseline, FU1, FU2
Age at onset of selected health conditions :	Self report	Baseline
Grade 3-5 cardiac		
Grade 3-5 pulmonary		
Date of SMN ( to include basal cell	Self report	Baseline, FU1, FU2
and squamous cell carcinomas of skin)	Validated central pathology	
	review (4)	
Date of Death	National Death Index	
Report of Following Grade 3-5 Health		
conditions (for Spec Aim 3) *:		
Thyroid		Baseline (E1-4), I-15, I23
Cardiac		Baseline (F2-21, I7, I9-10)
Pulmonary		Baseline G5-G13, I24
Hearing loss		Baseline (C1-3;C7)
Cataracts		Baseline (C9, I28)
Female Gonadal failure		Baseline (E13,E16-E18)

Male gonadal failure		Baseline (E13-E15)
Digestive disorder		BaselineH7-H11, J14
Renal		Baseline (D1-5)
Musculoskeletal		Baseline I2
Bone Health		Baseline I5;
Chronic pain		Baseline J36;
Neurological		Baseline J2, J8-13
Social outcomes:		
Employment		Baseline, FU1 and FU2
Insurance		
Education level		
Disease and Treatment explanatory		
variables		
HD histology at diagnosis	Medical abstraction by	Baseline
Number of treatment regimens ( initial	Treating institution	
regimen/salvage regimen)		
Splenectomy (yes/no)		
Radiation (yes/no)		
Radiation dose		
>/= 30 Gy		
< 30 Gy		
Radiation site (supra-diaphragmatic)		
Neck		
Mantle		
Mediastinum alone		
Radiation site (infradiaphragmatic)		
Total Pelvis		
Peri-aortic		
Chemotherapy exposure (categorical		
variable)		
COPP		
MOPP		
ABVD	-	
Alkylating agent score	-	
Autologous or allogeneic transplant		
Overall health Status		
Covariates of Interest		
Age at diagnosis	Self report	Baseline
Time since diagnosis		
Treatment era		
Gender		
Race/ethnicity		
Age at survey response		
Family history of malignancy		
BMI		
Smoking Status	1	
Ŭ		

\*Chronic Health outcomes will be graded according to criteria described by Oeffinger et al (2006).

Statistical approach:

Descriptive statistics will be used to report the baseline characteristics and potential covariates of the HD population within CCSS (Appendix Table I). This will include means, standard deviations and distributions of age at diagnosis, age at survey response. Categorical variables such as the following, will be tabulated: race; gender; HD histology

at diagnosis; HD stage at diagnosis; splenectomy status; exposure to radiation; radiation site; selected chemotherapy agents; occurrence of SMN; recurrence of HD; presence or toxicity grade of selected health condition; grade 3-4 health condition (for each condition listed above).

*Analysis of Specific Aim 1*: Median survival and median time to key outcomes of interest will be estimated by the method of Kaplan-Meier (for overall survival) and cumulative incidence (for other outcomes) using 5 yrs. after date of diagnosis of HD as the baseline.

- Overall survival will be defined as 5 yrs. from the time from diagnosis of HD until death from any cause. Survival analysis will be stratified for HD histology and for treatment era. The survival function will be censored for the following events: last survey completion (baseline, FU1, FU2).
- Cumulative incidence for recurrence/relapse will be estimated using 5 yrs. following diagnosis as the baseline time for survival analysis. The following events will be considered competing risk events for recurrence/relapse: any SMN; non relapse death; date of last survey completion (baseline, FU1, FU2).
- Cumulative incidence for any SMN will be estimated using 5 yrs. following diagnosis as the baseline time for survival analysis. The following events will be considered competing risk events: death from any cause other than SMN; date of last survey completion (baseline, FU1, FU2).
- Cumulative incidence rate for grade 3-5 cardiac or pulmonary conditions (as defined by Oeffinger et al) will be generated from 5 yrs. after the date of HD diagnosis to the reported onset of condition. The following events will be considered competing risk events: death; date of last survey completion (baseline, FU1, FU2).

*Regression analyses for Specific Aims 2 and 3*: To estimate the impact of demographic and cancer-related and treatment factors on the risk of specified outcomes listed under Aims 2 and 3, Cox proportional hazards regression will be used estimate the hazard ratios (HR) and associated 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Initial regression models will be constructed to examine impact of each demographic, disease and treatment explanatory variables of interest with adjustment for some pre-specified covariates. Candidate explanatory variables of interest are shown in Table 1 above and in Table II in the Appendix. All explanatory variables significant at the p< 0.2 level will then be entered into the full model. Appropriate regression diagnostics will be utilized to check the proportional hazards assumption.

## Cumulative incidence analysis for Specific Aim 3:

• Cumulative incidence for each grade of each specified chronic condition will be generated from 5 yrs. after the date of HD diagnosis to the reported onset of condition. Analyses of gonadal dysfunction will be limited to subjects who were between ages 15 and 44 at the time of questionnaire response. The following events will be considered competing risk events: death; date of last survey completion (baseline, FU1, FU2)

*Regression analysis for Specific Aim 4:* Logistic regression models will be used to examine the association between reports of grade3-5 conditions or BSI indicators and the

social outcomes (insurance coverage, employment, education level and marital status of survivors).

Statistical analysis will be performed using SAS version 9.0 software (SAS, Cary, NC).

## 6. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:

This concept proposal is deliberately broad in order to be comprehensive of the breath of late sequelae in Hodgkin's disease survivors. It is recognized that in fact the analyses proposed above may result in more than one manuscript.

Resources are available through this working group to handle the dataset and data analysis. The analysis for Specific Aims 1 and 2 will be done by Sharon Castellino under the guidance of her Master's thesis committee (Geiger, Tooze) at Wake Forest University, and in conjunction with the CCSS Biostatistics group. Analysis of Aim 3 and 4 will be carried out at the Statistical Center in Seattle.

# **REFERENCES:**

1. Donaldson SS, Kaplan HS. Complications of treatment of Hodgkin's disease in children. Cancer Treat Rep 1982;66(4):977-89.

2. Donaldson SS, Glatstein E, Rosenberg SA, Kaplan HS. Pediatric Hodgkin's disease. II. Results of therapy. Cancer 1976;37(5):2436-47.

3. Hudson M, Constine L. Hodgkin's Disease. In: Halperin E, Constine L, Tarbell N, Kun L, editors. Pediatric Radiation Oncology. Fourth ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2005. p. 223-259.

4. Neglia JP, Friedman DL, Yasui Y, Mertens AC, Hammond S, Stovall M, et al. Second malignant neoplasms in five-year survivors of childhood cancer: childhood cancer survivor study. J Natl Cancer Inst 2001;93(8):618-29.

5. Kenney LB, Yasui Y, Inskip PD, Hammond S, Neglia JP, Mertens AC, et al. Breast cancer after childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Ann Intern Med 2004;141(8):590-7.

6. Hudson MM, Poquette CA, Lee J, Greenwald CA, Shah A, Luo X, et al. Increased mortality after successful treatment for Hodgkin's disease. J Clin Oncol 1998;16(11):3592-600.

7. Bhatia S, Yasui Y, Robison LL, Birch JM, Bogue MK, Diller L, et al. High risk of subsequent neoplasms continues with extended follow-up of childhood Hodgkin's disease: report from the Late Effects Study Group. J Clin Oncol 2003;21(23):4386-94.

8. Lipshultz SE. Exposure to anthracyclines during childhood causes cardiac injury. Semin Oncol 2006;33(3 Suppl 8):S8-14.

9. Adams MJ, Lipsitz SR, Colan SD, Tarbell NJ, Treves T, Diller L, G et al. Cardiovascular Status in Long-term Survivors of Hodgkin's Disease Treated with Chest Radiotherapy. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22(15): 3139-3148.

10. Marina NM, Greenwald CA, Fairclough DL, Thompson EI, Wilimas JA, Mackert PW, et al. Serial pulmonary function studies in children treated for newly diagnosed

Hodgkin's disease with mantle radiotherapy plus cycles of cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and procarbazine alternating with cycles of doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine. Cancer 1995;75(7):1706-11.

11. Mertens AC, Yasui Y, Liu Y, Stovall M, Hutchinson R, Ginsberg J, et al. Pulmonary complications in survivors of childhood and adolescent cancer. A report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Cancer 2002;95(11):2431-41.

12. Green DM, Whitton JA, Stovall M, Mertens AC, Donaldson SS, Ruymann FB, et al. Pregnancy outcome of female survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2002;187(4):1070-80.

13. Green DM, Whitton JA, Stovall M, Mertens AC, Donaldson SS, Ruymann FB, et al. Pregnancy outcome of partners of male survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol 2003;21(4):716-21.

14. Sklar CA, Mertens AC, Mitby P, Whitton J, Stovall M, Kasper C, et al. Premature menopause in survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. J Natl Cancer Inst 2006;98(13):890-6.

15. Chemaitilly W, Mertens AC, Mitby P, Whitton J, Stovall M, Yasui Y, et al. Acute ovarian failure in the childhood cancer survivor study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2006;91(5):1723-8.

16. Metzger ML, Howard SC, Hudson MM, Gow KW, Li CS, Krasin MJ, et al. Natural history of thyroid nodules in survivors of pediatric Hodgkin lymphoma. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2006;46(3):314-9.

17. Sklar C, Whitton J, Mertens A, Stovall M, Green D, Marina N, et al. Abnormalities of the thyroid in survivors of Hodgkin's disease: data from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2000;85(9):3227-32.

18. Probert JC, Parker BR. The effects of radiation therapy on bone growth. Radiology 1975;114(1):155-62.

19. Zebrack BJ, Zeltzer LK, Whitton J, Mertens AC, Odom L, Berkow R, et al. Psychological outcomes in long-term survivors of childhood leukemia, Hodgkin's disease, and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Pediatrics 2002;110(1 Pt 1):42-52.

20. Hudson MM, Mertens AC, Yasui Y, Hobbie W, Chen H, Gurney JG, et al. Health status of adult long-term survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Jama 2003;290(12):1583-92.

21. Robison LL, Green DM, Hudson M, Meadows AT, Mertens AC, Packer RJ, et al. Long-term outcomes of adult survivors of childhood cancer. Cancer 2005;104(11 Suppl):2557-64.

22. Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, Kawashima T, Hudson MM, Meadows AT, et al. Chronic health conditions in adult survivors of childhood cancer. N Engl J Med 2006;355(15):1572-82.

23. Aleman BM, van den Belt-Dusebout AW, Klokman WJ, Van't Veer MB, Bartelink H, van Leeuwen FE. Long-term cause-specific mortality of patients treated for Hodgkin's disease. J Clin Oncol 2003;21(18):3431-9.

24. Robison LL, Mertens AC, Boice JD, Breslow NE, Donaldson SS, Green DM, et al. Study design and cohort characteristics of the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study: a multi-institutional collaborative project. Med Pediatr Oncol 2002;38(4):229-39.

25. Mertens AC, Yasui Y, Neglia JP, Potter JD, Nesbit ME, Jr., Ruccione K, et al. Late mortality experience in five-year survivors of childhood and adolescent cancer: the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol 2001;19(13):3163-72.

# **APPENDIX : Proposed tables for analysis of Survivors of HD in the CCSS**

Demographic Characteristics	Age at entry into CCSS			
	5-18 years	>18 years		
Gender	<i>c</i> 10 <i>y</i> curs	<i>7</i> 10 years		
Male				
Female				
Race				
White				
Black				
Hispanic				
Asian				
Other				
Age at diagnosis				
0-9				
10-14				
15-20				
Age at survey response				
5-10				
11-18				
15-19				
20-29				
30+				
Treatment era				
1970-1979				
1980-1986				
Age – median (range)				
Histology				
Nodular sclerosing				
Mixed cellularity				
Lymphocyte depletion				
Lymphocyte predominance				
Time since completion of therapy				
5-10 yrs				
10-20 yrs				
20+ yrs				
Treatment				
Chemo only				
Chemo +RT				
Anthracycline exposure				
None				
<u>≥250</u>				
>400				
Radiation exposure				
Supra-diaphragmatic				
Intra-diaphragmatic				
Splenectomy				
Yes				
NO				
Vital status at last t/u				

 Table II. Risk of specified Medical Outcomes by Initial Disease and Treatment factors

Disease and Treatment	<b>Risk of Outcome</b>					
Characteristics	HR (95% CI)					
	Late	SMN	Grade	Grade 3-5	Thyroid	
	Relapse		3-5	Pulmonary	Disease	
			Cardiac	disease		
			Disease			
Age at diagnosis (yrs.)						
0-9						
10-14						
15-20 (referent)						
Histology						
Nodular sclerosing(referent)						
Mixed cellularity						
Lymphocyte depletion						
Lymphocyte predominance						
Number of treatment regimens						
1 regimen						
$\geq$ 2 regimens						
Splenectomy						
Yes						
No (referent)						
Radiation (yes/no)						
Radiation dose						
>/= 30 Gy						
< 30 Gy						
Radiation site						
Supra-diaphragmatic						
Neck						
Mantle						
Mediastinum alone						
Infra-diaphragmatic						
Total Pelvis						
Peri-aortic						
Chemotherapy exposure						
Anthracycline						
$<250 \text{ mg/m}^{2}$						
$\geq 250 \text{ mg/m}^2$						
Mechlorethamine						
Prednisone or Dex						
Vincristine/Vinblastine						
Procarbazine						
Bleomycin						
Dacarbazine						
COPP						
MOPP						
ABVD						
Transplantation						
Autologous						
Allogeneic						